Saturday - 5 p.m. meetup. Mis quan's home.
(2)

FAITH - its object.
Faith - is nature:

1) behef.
2) thist.

Ill.- Benmy Pan.
(1)

Theology
r) Its meaniy.

1. Purpose - to explain the faith. 2) Its syipificance.
a) Not purve't - that is apolopetics.
b) Not pesent what mplt ts beheir - that is homiletice.
c) Not it nitneeser wim - that is evampelisin.
2. Its native:-
a) Thescentruc - a unit ré God. Not lose bundle y doctainis.
b) Chintocentric-) not a search for tim th (e.q. Susince), but
c) Briblicil - an explanation of tim th alved, gmien.
3. Rel. to Philosoph q Relipion- looks at xty prom insit, notantride.
e.q. $19^{\text {曷-idealuin }}$ 20 A c. - matenaloin?
4. Rel. to Psych. q Rel. - objective, as well as senbiectivi.
ir. Hendry-Princeton

Gnosticism
 Hans Gman traceo affiriter betw. Gusticiom + Oxistentichison of Hecideger.
 quese infrite spaces tevrifis me."
Qrigin - Tran thight but in as remet q Alex. unold. Completely contron to breck that, which is phlosigh q a man at hime in the cured. $\therefore$ lopos $\phi$-mivene gries conswers. The uned in prod (t queks) - bad (is lessian Gpuaties).

Reacricl inchorm -
Tachical duahion - God is good; wrold in enl. : Creation not dureit, bat by omanaturi "propersine depotentiation" q the divine. "The ioltape os rectuced".
Besclides - no fever than 365 intermediate staper betw. God ad the unld
Ginotic themy g redenption: the senduy 4 the man from heaven, who presened his spintualit, unattenuated (as chistanizge, it was docetc, demping his true humaniti). In function wos not to redeem the urle $q$ matter (whid is unsedeemalle) but to reneal the rachical antimesis between God and the uned (spint + molter)
Soluation - by Gnois (n kunuledpe). This pres man's soul /rum brondepe of mattes, evebles ascent ints unld $g$ pone sprisinalit,

Guris was enplemented is intes and cere momes (some sesemple to socomente) " "also sorplemented by an ethico-a coñtemply the brody in eathar of tho furns - (i) ascetciderial o bodr, a (2) exteme libeitionion, sma nitinny that happens in the borch can affect the spint.
Predstivation: Sume spones y the spint weec lyt in procens o emonation. These
loded in cestain men - the Prenmation (the spinitualo) Onth there were capable of saluation. The Prechicoi a theion wer domed to peristit.

Connticum infiltated the chuch. The mpantance of the momemant $l$ is in the prestions it camsed the chb to fece. How for is the giopel ansceptiter to be shaped to fit cintempona, itght - a does it have a fuxed shape of its onn, demandis it be set in its own framewno.

Pmidental that the chich promed a theologion of cratine gemis to meet the challenge

MARCion (yfen grmpel in ith groostico).
grostios were speuntatme (treosighicil); Tharcion wos practical + eveapelued layman, shiponner, deep fersonal puit, - Lirmen de ontsposken.

Crisis - 144 AD - when M. eneqged in dospatetion with preshates an Sonpture parseces. Lk. 5:36 (new peth, new wiot); Uh:6:43. Theshitew excoumminicate him on hertical intengretetion.
Heseric sow: redical antithess behw Cort + Grgel + the femsh law (NT+ O.T.)
 Mharcinis Antitueses "O micck abre all mides,.." frit firse. be Hancoc, in 1929 - Bacth pramptes accurd + being "Mercin redivins".
Reyict Judavin - OT. demed, expupated N.T. f all but Lake P Paul
Dermus unet ot gor og war al blord and justice. Port does not dem the realty o of Gord-identpis him in ith Gustic Demimpe.
Calls oT God "the knom God", but the suppene Gode "the mamom Gode"; not kunm by creetion, bent orley by Reveletion.

Maraon (2)
Chuntoloin - Chint "deupred to emanate"- was not brom. Docete. Appeared suttench in Copernaum.
Ascetio dioghine. Oblepation to celibery
Poh, carp "Marcion was the hrit-bom q Satan". Vyorims counter-attcck. Sunvied as late as 7 BBC. It shey H . proited ant domaer of fitting gropel ints lepalistic pameunce. (Huns, was somethny lie inthe, discmerni "givpel" as opposed to law).

Thomas Aquinas

Intis:. Yesterdan (Now.15) - fesst das \& Albertiss Maguns; Today we strdy his grect papil, Tormas.
I. The Age

Borm in 1225, the great golden age of Roman Catholiaisin:

1) The preat ape, the cartecdrals and the cmosedes; the univesities o the mingisition:
2) The great ape oq the papary - when
a) a Pope like hnocent III comed humilite the k. 7 Thance by friang him to talu backe a diviced wite he hod jisit hated.
(2) mimilite the be. 1 Spain by fric him क durice a witk ho I wed.
(3) conld take Suled awsy tom it Kg. + gine it bade $t$ him as a papal fif, with loro morker anmal hibute momey.
b) Albre all the ape whan the papara finall, humbled + vintuath destorned its ally ad queat nival, the Holy Roman smprie.
3) Re great ape o mediainal theology, when the thrikess of the Chunch who had built towres of that, like thein cathechab, on what seemed to be solid forldations - Platonie idealism - when the for datuons cracked under the nijeect of Asistotelainion * Arebian sasie - were able to tansfas the twers sintuall intect to new and soerted philosphicel fundations.

This was the ape of the $13^{\text {² }}$ c. . the great Catwohi centiny - ad a cuvilizatorn buit uy, dominated is fuilly destanped by the chunch.
II. The Man

1. Thomas y Aquis, as brom in $1225,10 \mathrm{ym}$. attes the Syinj of the Mapre Chasta.
(3) Le died in 1274, 2 ym. ofter the last crisside.
2. He was bom mpit in the mindlle $q$ the great contest that roeled Emope:
a. His father was Landoff, the beld Nomman Connt a Asmin, a litth sillspe half wom betwreen Roues Naples. He was a consin $q$ the Smpen, $d$ his ealiest memmies nust have ban $f$ his fatter's raids on belayy of the Smp. apaint the Pree, when Pree. Impern were fyits if Senthem itaty.
b. When he was three, his fathes captured 1 seckued the suect Bened. Mumastery 9 Menite Cassins.
c. But when he was 5, an evert occurned which ched Thmas' life. His facters poddenlh, temprieilh dred sides - + decided that polites, not was, was thr beist way 5 wim perm. controf $g$ the mish

 with ben in Pope, not with sund in Impens like has hothers.
3. 1239, when 14, his cons in the Emp. apain cystined Monte Cassun, rggelled the monks of Thomas was sent line, t enter the Univ. I Naples, which the Impers had just forded. Naples 1239. 1244 -perhaps bede ts Empern's side.
4. 12114 , mineteen yrs. Id, puldenhs walls into his family; castle $s$ anmores that he is gris 4 jam the Dominican cher - and his family flew at him lila wild beasts. To be a monk+ per laps Abbot - all sept, rujectable: hut a begging Dominican Thais!




Well as I said, family flue of him, $r$ when he lye home on fort on Pains, bothers ambushed' him, locked him up in the towers as a lunate:. Ip. Tempt isis parstitat?

Rat is last dramatic medal in life y Thomas. Hapcoppajhers moment others levitation (If the, greatest mi vile of mind ale gest).
5. The next yean, at 20 , he envolled in Cologne + Pars as the pride $t$ assistant of Abertus Magus, greatest scientist o $\phi \mathrm{q}$ his time. He spent the rest $g$ his lie teach; stud, 7 a wit g east volumes of backs.
6.a) A great lupe, hulk y a man, "a walking wime-bassel"- crescent ant ant y divines table to accomodate him.
b) Shy + reserved, not talkative: "the Dumb ox of Sicily".

Fellow students of frit to pk pits on him as back word. Que kept help 7 him sits his lessons, gins ones the elements g logic, each time was the ked with pathos. politeness, intel one day he came to a passage he conldn't explain, whereupon to s Dumb of, mach em baversed, pointed ant a possible solution whit happued t be milt.
c) Albert, his teacher, Daw for guider than his fellows, the great main belid the slow body. "You call $\lim$ a Dub $b$ Ox; I- ll you this Dm $b$ ox shall bellow oo lind his belling int fit the whole uned." Ad Th., aslud what he was mot thelene for," Rat I have never read a page which I was not able to undestar."
d. But more than anintelled - a saint. Vision - Xt. y the Cmaifix asks, "What witt Tum have." Th. answers quietly, "I will have thy s self.
III. The Theology - 34 corlumes complete unks. Sreatest Summan Theslograie
2. His conchnoions were completec medraival:

1) Never demid a cuiple establ. Cath. dopma
2) Heeped io lay doctimal prition on in fuisition.
3) Fin all y Clatatinis misist. in pree vill - pedestimanain - reprobationies Caloin.
4) Even heyued $t$ bield the doctune 4 madriences, which was letes 8 explode so devastatifiy.
5) Secrements - wholeheartell, defe hed tromsubstantuatin.; whote thici a Coppos Chisist:
3. But there is somethiny ginte mordem in his method + attictude:
1) Pumpe - moderinst. To talu new, shattany Anist. saence a lopic a trist it porm wee vs. Chd, to shield in defanse $f$ chand. His greet achene t was transfari- theotuey parm Pletomi \& Anitit. fondations nithant destimiy it. A modem parallel: liberalisins attapt कs reintupet Xty in the light q Daswinsim nitunst destroniy it. And Thrmas aroused as much opprition prom Pletrme Aponstincias in his day, as any evolutioneny moderinst has prom fumdamentahits. Natpis tacd;s were dedred herotical 3 pro thes he died. 50 ys . lates he was cammized; 1880 gation 4 all CATh. schorls; 1923 Pope Pins XI declared the pumain of Apines on Kn theotoren.
2) Method-modem, scientfir lopicil.
a) Natunal neerm Like Apmolic - follow reason as far as it will go-but unliles Agnot.- the kn gres farties, by fiits.

Startiny pt: - "Eversthiny that is in the intelled has been in the censes."
Here meets wix Platanist, - mid lit porm nition.
Helds nith Anstoth - mid has 5 unidinws.
$\therefore$ Thomes bepins nits facts, not tuits (rike Plato).
From seme dats builds to komorepe $q$ exiterce ogoodrees 7 GOd .
ill: Chertertion - insuist bepins uis annethis like the taste oq an apple atter words dednces a dimin lie in the intelled; whle the Myshe mathints
 taste of an aple ( $\gamma .58$ ).
b) Faith - List all can be 1 mmm by Lapi . netrual neams, 0.9. Iminity.

Such tinths rest on revelation anthosity. I
This even une impntat ither (a) fin it gines man a knoveldee he unold not otter vise pomes.
c) But neason + faith are not centradictry, but mutually suppostrij - 1 both are from the somee 4 all tuith, God. So even frith must be rearmelle.
Id. Scipture - © Scripture oten for form seff evident.
(2) We vust $\therefore$ intes puct it $m$ lyibt $q$ othe huths.
(3) It litinel mitern. is neally + flath, contradicted by obrions fects- then lit. niteys.



Agmines vs. the Manicheer
Af the glittering heach cont 7 St. Lonis, fat Dommican suddenh bamps table, oris "And that will settle the Manichue. Loins, unoffended, sends secretaries to teles lablets to the absent-minded contromersialist + wote the arpument, lest he later prpet it.
The main purpres of his life - 5 sette the Manichues.
"Relipion uned heve maddened mex, Theoloqy lcept them save." p.88.
His unk $q$ introducupp Ansithk - puppents a solid + objecture $\phi$.

1) Porpe tuisted $T^{\prime}$ 's unthodony, + swallnned theri feen that $A$ was unntholox
2) T bepins with facts, not thaltas - listh facts + sensations o matenal uned. His moture humitit.
3. Te resurectis tanpt a bees nesped for mattes.

The Real Lipe of Thimas
"A walking unie-barrel". A crescent cut ant 1 diminer table to allow him to sit drom (?). Head-heavy chim + Joows, Roman nose, biy bald bow-like Napolem, Mursohimi, Camt forco. when not readir, he walled fast + fursish mod s and the chisters.
Duce a thice indruel in denumaitin. - vever in c sneer; an intellectral aristocrat, not an intellectual suab.
Tremendons conespondence - eveng body astad hin guestume, e.q. are the names of all the blensed usitten on a ocroll in heaman? Tipatientty, "So far as I cam see, this is not the case; but there is no harm in sayin so."
A mptic - but shy abont $t$. Did he see ST. Paul in a vision? T. in an apmy f alarm lest It should be disassed. Last cissin-stops witing. "I have seenttas which male oll my mitnis lile straw."
 Sliny- wice fim the Crucipy. "Thomas, thon hast witten well concerning the sacrament of My Body. what witt Tum have." "I will have Pmsely".

He dies - 1224-on wan to Conial \& Lyms. An deetthbed, nemotumal, unnmaté, askd 4 have Siy 7 Solmum
 as $j$ in fem + whespind that his anfumin had bee Hat of a clied 75 .

The Philosggh of Ayumias. "Unlike Kant A Hepeham, he has a faith that is not mereh a denbi alana denbt." p. 149 Af. like Huxley in his adoption of the Agnostic method: 4 follow reason as for as it wish go. Startling modern o maternalist: "Every thin that os in the infected has been in the pensee.
Patonits (awe - - e ) the mind is lit prom vitim
Agnvies - lat by 5 windows. But he studies what is within, Man) not nushrorons.

1. Starting pt. - Ens - there is an Is; i.e. Something is ormethiny.

There mistantty enters init the der 7 affirmation, the idea $q$ contradiction. $\}$ actuality a certainty: Hare is $\therefore$ A thin y cannot be as not be. a yes a no. Not both ct once.

AQUINAS

Thomas otudies under Alberturs Moprus.
Nebsertus manes tom lopic to in suiry - ill: unicom. is
Inomes called the Dumbox - but Durnsies a lellow. student. I
A"ertus astand Univ. - "Ym call hmin a Durabl Ox; I bell ym thes Anomb one shall belliw $x$ I that his bellminjs mx kill the
 s. apple, and afternords dednces a dimis lif for the intetlect; while the Muptic exhansts ins telled furst, ad samp finally that the oense $q$ God is something like the taste $q$ an apple" 58
An wes. Bonarienture defel freedon it the frears - Litherto contaied in mmastenies.
But for him the peat populas monemat ipt have been destonied. Aceased of upsectiy Geammore peijple. i.nas secmes prestii firise.

Mones an to defend Arirturte apainit the ath odtr.
Bepre, the demininit pwas Plats (1) the greek Deolupains

$$
\text { b) Prpustini - Pratomit } \rightarrow \text { Maneches } \rightarrow X_{n} \text {. }
$$

T. wer y Aguirias vs. Aupurtincains

Damper in Aup. - the Marnches: anti-coppred.

Apmias uses Antitle $t$ conect Plato's mplect of the created thimpo the human element in Xm Uneotapy.
clith-dox fear Arstrithe as Motharmmedan.

Apumier a Sompture shuws saitifo maid:
(1) Meri 1 Sanjture pten tas prom sey- erident
3) We most : intaper it in lyint of othen thiths.

D. But the foct unnsi really be an obrions fact 1
 pers abmit nature was an obrions fact as aree 173 c . sectareains $\%$ priny $\&$ the conclision that any quess absit semptine was the obiims explamation |.b1.
Aad mattes been lyt I Aguries - no complit betw. Rel. Scenis.
Aguinies ws. Syein of Brakant:

 fit tuilt, - Natties instrultifel pata-se that there are 2 tinthes.

Astinias

1879. Leo xile tiuits ist bachme 9 SI. Thmas be taten as basis q theotyy.

Why: 1) Himes a mam. siled nature - a, keenly interested in politics, mysticion as metophypies, thastryy.
$\Rightarrow$ Idual secoser - persuadiy instided o demmin oppments, critical usitrim neasom, sober in pudpinent, provip all this while hollsi hat to that which is gol.
3) Pisduces q the the most and $^{\text {then }}$ an thess of prot therl. thimpt.
$10 \times 1.1$.
Covannatar in Se teies a Petes Lombend - mplinen of Albertins Mopnos Angusture. Lates deverted.



1) Natial neaom - darts isith sense date, attamo bunsurege of exintence, unity, gonduens, inteltpencie + m $1<1$.
2) $F$ ind - neoto in revelation + anthinits; attams tumulepe 1 God as purch spisitual 'inimity.
 Rot werthen can contradey other, In both are firm the somes 1 all tinth, Grd.

Past I-God. Nature, attributes, tirnit, Geation, problems sè aupebs man, dimie gort. I unld. int il Mas. Qeveral minality (froded manistot. Athios) - Man's end, wist, passinio, virture, sime the lan $\therefore 1$ are, puiluat in dtucs + the kife 1 imempietion:
1.1 II. God. Man. rasm, 角过 ank $17 \%$.
int A aim in poeseaments, when he died. Fimisid by Kegmell 1 ripesmo.

History of Chridtan Docíkine
Dr. Cal houn

Introduction:

1. Religion, Theologye Aogma.
a. Theolog 4

Theology traitionalh - intenpetation o Revelation. (lins Las cetter ase. Kci)
 Calioron - theobosy mant centin in revelation, bat not yure manis neopense os that curaliaion.
b. Reliqion - man's response.

2) Wrship is conplex - occasioned by Reverine of on other, arisiny fo it in mopact prom the other (masterciom tremendum et fasimosim), a seqation to self. This is sist reactron-reverenticel pear. Foclaved by ve opation \& the otha as in asme way priendly - Recuniliation. "comfrëd despan" (rinay.) Reconciliation makes possible Commanion, i.e. not mification, lent mercominy q alienation. This is dove by God. The isoue of Reverence and Reconcalistion is Comintment - Faith, a total re-orientation. But resporse beyand aimple acknomledpenent is celled fn:-
3) Deunt unks - ivert expersion; sithen Phenctic-ueleative interpriation it the expervince and its syinficance be.q. Doosonp); a Practical-semiee to fellowman. Aut humain wnk tends $t$ thun dorn, becomes conpusedi believen is driven back to the coucce of insight. Whship.
Social froms q relipion - social expression.
a) Cultur - pacraments as veincles o communcion (ixorvsix, ; pêtums in Rocial unship. Ritual. Sacraments are the hearis $f$ a cuit-mipoiy man into conmusion usit $Q_{0} d$.
b) Propaqanda - the effrt 5 exend the rampe of it insight. urampeisin
 Preaching, teaching. (here throbogy has its place)
c) Orgarizution - duis on \& Dien. Dinee tizes:
(1) Entive aocial comminast as a nelipions body (cf. Israd)
(2) The chorch - voluntary pronp ithim the cominivity, cioss-setim 1 =amsenity.
(3) The rect - separatist gampi inteme, reformioy miarrit.
2. Theologh
a. Its subiel mater - nevelation. Where is tis find?
4) In ed. uperince $f$ the individual.
2) In erpervieses $f$ oiner men, as reconded. Scipptine- the wirtten revelation. Supptre becomes a priman bese of thedogy.
 $n$ by community spuit.
4) Creed-revelation frualized and intupated auttoritatucty. Specifcectinis of chet the nienal revelation means, quided by the tihn Spint.

a. Ictuodoy- apponed belif: heterodxy-disappned beliff.
 By conoration- arbition asenetion.
4. Relatimis + Cheolopy.


c. Dosma likevise afects theodoin

$$
\text { Relicin } \rightleftarrows \text { Rheolory } \vec{\rightleftarrows} \text { Dogma }
$$

Histry \& Dotmi
Proy. Calhmon
Notes from Ph. Monetom
(A) Definitimis \& Doctime and Deseace

- 1. Doctrine: a) doctime occuns when relipion beconnes reflective (al man be just unstam, ite.)
b) reflection in sel. comes fuom:

1) attecks pum ontside.
2) chfferences within the gmp.
c) diference between doctine and thesolopy: (neach alike)
3) Theolopy - Thenetic inguim
4) Doctruie - application in teachip, i.e. "taykt theroloyy."
2. Doqma - anthentic, in oficiall appmed docturie.

- not addition $t$, हut insuputation \& the und $q 90 d$.

3. Dspamic elation between relyom, doctime and dopme. Rests on thith 1 wnd.
a) Relieion tates shape in doctrne + doctune then altess selpion.
b) when docture iseves in doque, dogme chanpes doctrine and restuls it $t$ atholox disarsion
c) Dopma influences relipion - ionotallizes the flind respanse that is rehpion.
(b). The Fligit from Dogma
4. Resuts: a. Relipin $f$ feelimp.
b. Relifion of action.
5. Rearms fo rejection $f$ dogma.
a. Revoet vs. Intellectaahsm
1). Frist type - Favomin other factors.
a) Romantrium - ptresed minqueress of the indivitual.
b) Aegel-declaed there is a bic of felmy and impulse

- dialectic logi $q$ dymami intenclation, not old lopic 7 the miduded middle.
c) Reaction furm traditivialiom - Kierkegaard, Schopenhames, Nitzoche. - The will
d) Bergson - the intintion. Tntettect fabsijeies reality; tin intintion alme can me cast meself int the Sheam $q$ vealty.

2) Second type q reaction us. intellectration - Inotrumentahom, not a nejection but a re-interpectation $q$ neasen. (Sce Bk. 1 Sooans- Infencece $q$ Darwin $m$ Pillosophy). Tutellect reduced ts aukndinite role.
a) Tutellect a tool pubondinated to the needo of life, dueloped in the ctrupgle for anvival - $\therefore$ animal capacit, like speed, advantaperms is those who possess it.
b) Proper goal $q$ intellect is not absolnte reelith but the frfillment 7 hmomen equinements. If this is vardering $q$ eoccith, them intellect in ill seck that. Thinking is practical problem colling - the dewotion it thrupht is any other end is waste motion.
c) This involues the miphicitions q eelatirism. Probabibity is what conts, rattes than certainty. The quest.for certaints is misleading.
d) We bee alwar in terms of monsts, and can't oee objectively.
3) Thind type reaction - Analftial Psychologn, meta-paychology.
a) 19 Be c. pedecosson - Feverbach's concept 7 nelyions ideas as projections.
 deep ocean called mind.
(1) Tinking is a functim q impulavie motives - not ratival! gten eo cuared in confhit that the ideas of the enface induations are not poperely called ideas, but inly ratimatizations.
c) U - idedogy - intellect jintifis what one does for other revoons; it becomes meecly a bond-slave.
(1) Thonent replects the conflits of the indininal inith socite and seef.
(2) Relipinn thot is a pecmiarif bad attengt is reconer the seearity of infancy or pre-netatey. Conpent by repescain.
b. Revoet apainot Anthonty
b. Revoet apanot Authoity
4) In cocicty and politics - exaltation of the individual. (Fum ewhention turu tendency paws) Poitical anthrits taken by people, not by God - fum belw, not above.
5) In the chunch - Reformation conelated with the nise $\frac{1}{}$ natimal states.
6) Drected not to instutations but documents + Traditimis claiming anth siti, esp. Buble. Histrical + Natrial paince centributes to nevert vs. Bible.
$\therefore$ How can we have a valid ides of divme nevelation. Therepre theslopy as the interpetation \& ruclation loses ground. Pemple san Xty is a wan $f$ life, not a dogma.
3. Resuets of this fheht from Dogma.
a. Reliquin rejected:
1) Casnal rejection-ideas hamplanted $t$ secular inder. Xn theolopy not needed.
2) Violent rejectron
a) Marxists relipion rejected as a functimi a social consensatiom.
b) Faserian, Nazsim -
b. Dognatic atructire 4 relipion rejected.
3) By humanists - seek to kexp thical + ansotrinal, while rejetiny selipon.
4) Br eocial pospelers.
c. Sijm of reaction appeaing vs. this fleit purn dogma.
4. Histrical eitricsm \& doque (Seebers, I, zof.)
a. Rationalision - "tintured niti erren becance it inpmated in anient, millumimated periods."
b. Bann - " "becance $t$ manks coly a atape $q$ thensition $t$ the spint \& modem times:
c. Harnack- ". becane 't pesents xty as appechended by antifints, ie. a secalainged on Helleizzed xth.
C. Diegram ar Cume of Dogmatie Development.

- Intiodution.

1) Varrios interpectations:
a) Harnack: (1) Constractive period - 53 a 8 R $c$.
(2) Intexpetation - Widdle Opes.
(3) Citiciom - Modem
b). Seeberq (p.24): (1) Consthuction o Doctime in Amient Church.
(a) Post-Cpostolic + Primitrie Caturlie Oye.
(b) Onipination o Dopma in Grk. xt - Trimit, Chint imapes.
(e) " "." in wast-anpurtiis: Sin, Guce, Hechunch
(2) Presersation, Tramponnation Development \& Doctime in Midalle Reper.
(a) Extemal consernation \& $11^{\text {the }} \mathrm{e}$.
(b) Abunimal refinement o Doqua by Scholestivion.
(e) Qenime developments - thedory, atmenent, Sacamments, the chuch.
(d) Peciverscion-dissortion y Aperitianion, Herarthim.
(3) Development 4 Dochinal śptem thin Tefranation and Consten. Ceformetion.
(a) Refonostm ideas \& Luthes and zuminle

(c) Comennation \& Midele apes doctrui by Rome.
c) Callorom will lay as base pattem, then chav multiphects fum it.
2) Qeveral consideratimis
 was a refan relyion intion an dieach cxistiy rclipon, hpich adianced.
b) Itrs was fefencietatici fum an early ape - absoreed other factros, proed them arrund central core.
(1) Oenential cults
(2) Genims \& Rome
1. Chistiants began intr nonmative fudaiom (not digpession fudaiom). Its amphaces:-
a. God- Persmal will.
1) God is will, not a camie pimaple like the Stori Cogos.
2) God is a peromal merey - creatro, gmenn, individual.

The god \& godaion newer disappear ints ap. of categries.
b. Torah - Gads will for man. Two types.

1) Extcrual - estarl
2) Reveded - Scriptine.
c. Characturatic cultus - dipolar, since Bab ribe.
3) Temple in femosolem - here centered gevish comenation.
a) Waship - priesthod
b) Cereminal
c) Heriachy.
4) Suprapogue. - ewen destr. a temple conld not will $t$.
a) Fo educition as well as conslup.
b) Etrical, not coremsinal cheses.
c) Secertralized, not merarchicel. Democrate
d. Apocalypticism - partucular diopsition ammp gews, not universal
5) Expectation q and $\frac{1}{}$ existrip unld - sstekl. 7 Ked .1 grd .
6) Clesocated with heroic pains - Messich. (either centity a heavenly fyine).
2. Gesus teaching centered abont the prophetic ideas:
a. Kupdim $\&$ God.
b. Duts of man.
3. Lesus' followers (start inits them, not geono)

11 a. Farth of early chuch centered fint on God.
b. Then $m$ genus humself second focus for thot. foow bivis in wew ape, eindenced by prover ate
c. Then on the Spint alceads present in tonched lives. Spint peeduced by goel, now at rentecont.
d. Then $n$ the expected end weer at hand. Fill maniksotation of spint yet 5 come
4. Hellencom (Gesus a oquip wition gudaritic stream which then mipled with other otreams)
a. Contribution of the quek mind.

1) Citical temper - how, whon, derity, ete.
2) Specorlative thonpent - not to make precise old that, bat $\$$ (1) extend man's kmowle dee.
3) Two impatant ideas for $X_{n}$ that: -
(2) Deptenatize
a) Idea of Nature: (1) Delf-sufficient, oelf-onpmated, eelf-rodered, celf-developing wneld.
(2) dymavic - penaded by law and hie, not amiphy atomizes, becomaj and baing.
(3) not intexupted by maicles - kuit topettu in bemds $q$ living odees.
b) Concept y Sme, a Spint: (1) dypaent from, and able $t$ control bod,
(2) Capaste 1 apperendinp ethical, lofical values.
 ity diffent hom 0.T.
b. Contribution 4 peek popetar relifin.

5. Qriental chain :
a. Babylmian - astronorical miths and opeculation.
b. Nature cults - Isio, Attis, ete.
c. Pasian - cormic dualiom (haght us. dark, grod vs. evil). Inflimed temew thot.
6. Roman ctrain.
a. Anganzation and disciplue' - mititary and ciril.
b. Sober and practical temper.
c. Frensic
7. Puowth \& Dogma
a. Bepins in 1st, and c. vs. Grosticiom. But not much yet.
b. Doctrini o God fromalated in 325, aftes sewis 1 terio is concurniy selation $f$ jesus' disuts and trudtimil monotherion. Reaffeined 381, thime sacialleneed. Jesus is qod.
c. Doctrue of Sesus' humanit - Chalcedon, 451. Gears - 1 proon, with 2 compecte, penfect rativies.
d. Comial \& Qrape (2nd) - 529. Westem Chuch grapples inth dotrinies 1 Man, Sin, quace. Depends Anpesturi as whole - but cilent the inresistibith, 9 poods grace. In spiogue manis inll reakened, but his freedom not entichy dectimed. Semi-Cupustin inniom becones normative for the chunch.

t. 1439 - Connal \& Florene under Enperaine IV. Hoctrie of the 7 saivarrects
g. 1530 - Anpsbing Confession: 1) Rejects secremantaluim
2) 4 main doctries: God, Qipinal sin, Chisot, पustification by Faith.
re-afferims Nkecere + Chalcedon pritions; alda arno, setaino eove Cath. dopma.
h. 1563-Cownil \& Tout.
3) Seiptrue and unwitten tractitori anth itat ine.
4) Reject Prot. docturi if onpinal ein.
5) protifectiv- - mintains balance betw. divrie inititue and human respmes, between will and inopat. (most materily analysis of the commil)
6) Defense $f$ the sacroments
7) Purgaton.
i. Attacks + connterattecks namow area of free inquim
8) Interouns - 1830 and 1570 (Fourula $\%$ Conend)
9) Cabimists - Heidelbey Conf. 1563

Suiss Confessions: Heductei, qenewa.
39 Anticles (d. 1 Inplad) 1533 (unision of 42 Anticles of (553) Syuod of ent, 1618 Westminster Confession - 1647
3) Cathotics - 11854 - Eneqtical on the Inmealate Comeptim
2) 1869.70 - Vation Conicl braed on heo IX's Syllobu 4 inns (1864) Papal Inpllibility, in ox cetchens attrances on futh + monds.

PART I: CHRISTIAN URGEMEINDE (Jesus-centered).

1. The Relipion $q$ Jesus - centered on God, man's duty.
2. Jesw in the propleti thadition.
a. God as Creaton and Savims both orides in balance: Pudpe and Father (not indulpent).
b. Men unot repentand champe.
c. Emphasis on inner ethicel demands, not intward ceremomal; inward disposition, not ites at.
d. Sonship to 900 is ligher than demands o the law.
3. Did qeons claim Mesinahship? a. Clhom thimiss yes. Perhaps Bensset conect: Geans accepted Messichshinp veluctantty becanse men unuld not repirm jist because $q$ sonship ot god. $\therefore$ geous compelled $t$ auppre his inspit a sipn of diorie commisoion.
b. Geans did not adopt peralent Messich cmcept-zealot fightipp vitonims won. Possibly he daw hinsief as the ocape goat (a. Schmeitzen). Hent. senching nature y fyqueness.
c. His followers accupted the puffermin-sewnent concept.
4. Chist's goopel an affonts cuernday thinkup of everyday people. Proned by fact that his contempncines rejected him and were rejected by qod, accondiy is gesno. $\therefore$ We need justification bn facth.
$\therefore$ Xeurphnis false picture $q$ Soccates, who unuld never have been killed $f$ he had joit had all the homely vistries Xen. paints.
II. Reaction q Gens' Companimi.
5. How recmale g's cmaifexion inth his Messiahship? The resurection.
6. Thein Chistoosy.
a. If is Messich - Genish concept.
b. g. is Lad (kupios) - pr queeks. But $t$ gews kupoos meant the Mrot Hich God. (Ps. No
c. Gis Son of God. - or povoysún's vios Toiv $\theta 800$. Gesus the unique Son q Yod. Interpectations:
1) Qdoptionist - god raised him into Sonship. Bont when? - Resurnectini, Kransfination, Baptrom.
2) Bt the birth ctovis puoh back his Souship gesus was divine at conception. Im at bith.
3) Pre-existence: - sop. in Paul and gohn. Centered in 2 concepts.
a) Wiodom - of. Prov. 7,8,9.
b) Wnd - Philo calls this a Ind God.
9. Thee Bks. \& Testumines us. Gewr, collected nith Gyprian's usiks, collection. 10.T. and apocopph. prop-texts on Chist ti aid $x_{n}$ wnk ammp fews. (ANF-V)
d. If. is God- the Word is God, but not the whole a God. Diferent views: -
1) " dirme being, but on another lenel, perhaps a Ind God. Docetrom.
2) "Vory God" - in fill sense.
3. Hoge in the New ape-chiefly a matter q spint, probeble, not inotittion: Cestain acts gave expression $\$$ this hoge - baptsom, enchariot, Sestes.
III. Reaction \& Paul.
[YA and The cosife in ioc cimmenty and dafe $X_{n}$ prociamation intis terms
modifini the conman thot, + diecet it ints channels nits which it woldnit have moved.]
4. Man's plipit.
a. Shapp autitheres in a netink:
1) Present unold created by God, Man in Goro's umape.

Conthit betw present ape + ape $\$$ coms: life-pue jift. Deatt-wapes of $\sin$.
$\Rightarrow$ Man, as creatue, phould be in grod, not self. 2 contrastiy impulses:
a) To senve god - goord.
b) To dominete, let eex dominite - bad. These not bead in thenselves, bet becone bad when they dimmate.
3) Conplit of fleas and spint:
a) Flesh-lipe lived in seff-regarding wes. "What I wout."
b) Spint - ockumbledges gods will as pumain.
b. In his nature man could have pleased God, but he acted wornply.
2. Redemptive at of God thin feons Chinst.
a. Satufaction of divine nistee. Sm assonmes on ompul flesh, and ons bundes. breaks fee of that bunden in onten passible way broke hold o sil by death. Death was not ransm firm geying penacty - but dirme strategy ontflenking divil.
b. Mrry \& God revealed. His inn Son anfered for mm sin.

Not a docture q atonement, but a gospel of redemption: men pee $t$ death receive lije at grads hands, i.e. Thre who respond in the reyeined way.
3. Manis Resporse to thet Act: Faitt.
a. Not ar at - but a chaupe in manis status sfeceted by God's porver. (of his entarlement in luman sin due $t$ Adam.) Chompe it aner man form delf-oventation to god-oventation.
 carepall, ret froch in Paul).
c. Paul's mptricion - not Plations-like mpotic; but his sense of pentiupation of the cunstripen in the lie \& the lad, identipication mitin Chist, makes Pud a mptic.
4. Resuctaip Eathook m Man. Eochatolosy: 2 stamis.
a. End is wear, e.q. Pesoolmian letters.
b. Rat also a mivesalistic intliok - end not inmment but $\$$ be woiked int hore. Whole would enbiccted 4 andomip by. God in hope that 'It will weitall, be better H.e.9. "whrle creetion quameth... BAt fait amach pentivilesisté, at universalitie.
IV. Reaction of fohm

1. Moristic compared uiti Paul. Sternity and time in ter penetrate.
2. Logos + Incamation - Key 4 interpenctiation: qod's mediato ts earth.
a. Anteredents:
1) Rendell Haris clains 't as presk metaphypical concept.
2) Genish Wodrm Literatine - sarp what's said \& doyos: Proverbs. Wiod f sform. Odes of Solomen.
b. But micarnation of doyos is unique th Dohn $1: 1$
3) Never said $f$ Wiscom; no quek precelent.
4) Pirlo ocerso to miply it, but really orly a participation \& haman leader in the divme opint, whereas form opeaks $f$ indiridualisaten of doyou in the human persom.
5) Polemical aim - ws. Docetists.
c. Edentification q doyos unth pod.
6) Gohn's prologere - "was God", "nley becotten God hath revealed him "(aninent inss.)
7) Dimas' confession weigits scale to idestij $x$ oyos sith God.
3. Imphaiss in Deity $q$ Gouns. Chist is Gid (mey in Hemews is this so definite)
a. Miricles
b. Noyos doctme
c. Wrads $q$ geous - "I and the fatter are one"
"Bepe Abralam ias, I am"
"Son of Man is in heaven"
d. Conpession \& Thimas - "My lad and My Qod."
4. Emphasios on humanity of feans - e.g. incannation. Drected vs. Docectsts.
5. Method q saluativ:

6. Kumbledpe ( "who depecates t) - "this is hife to know thee." Firth and krin teepe go togetter.

c. Love - Aign 1 unalkinp io the lent.
7. Polemic vs. Dudaion - not anti-lyalisic live Pane (faith in contrast to attempt $t$ seey
 bje. Cove is a commandment inpmitels ouperin to old ones, so superin that reberth is necessan t make it accessible, yet this ven rebith is a frefillment of the old commandments.

Ignatius of Antioch (ca. 100)
Introd: 1. Martinned bishop of Anticch - 7 letters: Ephesciuns, Magnesians, Trallians, Romans, Puladelphoins, Smpmans and Polycarp. (ce. HOA.D.).
2) Re-echoes Qhannime thment - 1st cent. iews moe sharply defined. Fnot great thumler in Antich line (Syian ratter than Asian backgumend).

1. Theology
a. Doctrive \& God (smuc ciaracienitios \& Éicon Samantan prolicion
1) God is Silence. He is Creato, Smerepen, Fatter, but his essental bemp is Indden in silence ( $\Sigma i v$ 'n wsed with idea of abyes).
2) God is known mely as he neveal Hiniself thm the doyos, which poceedo prom ailence.
a) Doyos is the God, timeless, nithunt bepinnimp. Reised self funs Dead.
b) तoyos is alss the man. (vs. Docetsticisho are the prom iny y behewies). gesus nt, lied, hed.
3) Chistclogy. Chist both god and man; begoten and umbegoten, capable q ouffernip and nicapable. No attempt $\$$ resolve these paradores - he is an enthusiast, not a theolopean. Chist became man to reveal God-he was doyos
Bom $q$ a cingin
a) Clinst neveab god as doyos.
b) Clinst indwells the behiver (leadiy thinpt of Ignation.
b. Saluation - union (Evwors) with Chist.
4) Saluation is only thm Chist as revealer of god and indweller in the behereies. This the behwer becomes a god-beares.
5) Saluation is appelenended thrm faith and love, which are the sentire sum o the $X_{n}$ lije. "Faith is the line, lone the way draving up $t$ god" We are strines o the temple, the cuss is the enpine, the Hoin spint the rope drawnip up $\hbar$ god. Goud - the glom 4 God.
6) The intcome - pesence of new life, uinta of beiever inith behever, with gesms, intin god.
2. Ecclesiology:
a. The Cahohic Chuch (KaOddicn' = miversal, no axtemally mijied) - fist use 9 term.
1) Chinst is its bishop and center.
2) The apostles are ts preobytern (Plilad 5.1)
b. The separate compregation - partemed aftes the chuch universal.
3) The bishop is a type $f$ Chist. His auttinst, is expenene, but local. N.B. Chust and the aportes, not the episcopery, condtion the unts o the church (Seeberg, 67)
a) Reasms in Iqnatims achess on the bishop:
(b) To maintain mnol pimciple $f$ authoit, and engecction in socict,
(2) To aupent biships as fried arthinty opposing apeeding Gursticom
4) Reverence also the t pesbyters and deacons.

Part II: The Apostolic Fathers
I. THE DIDACHE (Ca. 110 ABD. ?)
A. Theology:

1. god is Fatter. Sin and Holy ghost (baptismal frimule).
2. Salivation:
a. Bestrived by god the christ.
b. Its blessmps: life, yuwors, faith, immontalte, indwelling name 1 god.
c. Means 4 applying salvation is the individual.
1) Baptism
2) Enchant
3) Preaching of travelimp apostles, and prophets; teachers, bishops and deacons. No hierarchy involved - all on equal footup.
3. Frchetological concept of kingdom q god. Fund q wold is near.
B. Ecclesiology - no hierarchy in the exercise g opintual function. All are wee agencies $q$ the spent.
c. Christian Moalsim:
4. Love \& god and me's neephbon
5. Avirdiup poss sins
6. Opposing sins q physical and spiritual Inst.
7. Proper conduct toward teachers, church, the needy, children and servants
8. Perpetual penitence.

Summary: "God has thin Chios bestowed upon Clinstains an inmontal bye, which is displayed in faith, hope and konovledpe. This is produced and presewed in man tho baptism, the ford's super, and thin teaching and instruction given in many war. He maintains this lye in earnest moral striving and in perpetual penitence, and is this prepared for the approaching propement and its tiros." (Seebey, p.75)

II. BARNABAS (180-190?)

Tutrod: - 1) Extravapant allegorical exepesis - perhaps alexandivin.
2) But preserves apostrophe ideas with relative purity. Pauline in flaws. lacks moralists. turin, the come unction is retained; jistipicetion not emphasized.
3) Anti-gudaic: God's cont. with Israel never concluded. Law, he alleprizes, as all o O O.T.
A. Theology:

1. Christology -
a. Pre-existent and returnee. Sm q God, not son y Man. Appeared in flesh so men could behold him.
b. Suffered on cross as sacrificebrips 1) Abolition $q$ death
2) Resurrection.
3) Fopweness $q$ aims and sanctificatori.
(But nature, necesasts + objects q XX ' ${ }^{\prime}$ sacrifice not made clear)
2. Redemption possessed tim 1) baptism
2) faith and hope
3. The "new $l_{j e}$ is the result - "being within the yoke of necessit", yet the divine commandments are strongly strised. We fully fulfill the commandments.
4. The end $f$ the unld is near - he interprets the opus in Sainel, Revelation in an involved eschatology.
B. Weaknesses:
5. Nature - necessity o X's sacrifice not dear.
6. Spinitual sole $q$ Irrad misunderstood and alleponzed.
7. Failure to recognize that foppeiness of sins remains vital to $X_{n}$ life then entree life.
(This is common to earls fathers)
8. Extravagant eschatology - 10 Kupdoms of Dan. 7 are Roman Emperns etc.
9. No emphasis m justification.
III. PAPIAS - milleninal reipn on earth (in frepment prom Ensebius).
I. Pourcarp to the Philippians. (ca. 110 a.d.)
10. Assumes recogntion q derty and humainty of Chist. Soberly Chistucentuc.
11. Enapelelical consepto thmins - Clinst anffers por ins suis. In line we obey his commandments.
12. Gohanime influence evident.
IV. Clement of Rome (ca. 100 A.D.)

Introd: - 1) Leter frum Roman chuch to Corittian chunch
2) Inected laypely apainot sedittor + disndes in the chuch, which is rebuled as coussed in pride.
A. Theology

1. Que god-Creator and Fatter (leachip thot 7 po the). Ans dusty to love him who elects us.
2. Chistology:
a. He is sent prom God $t$ deliven us. His suffermps are the onfferipos 7 God.
b. He is the only metiatr $f$ calnation; redeems by his blood, which was so precirss के the Fatter thet it ostained the prace f repe tance in the 16 cil .
c. His life is our pattem.
3. The otandrip $q$ the belvevier ( 2 emphases):-
$\|$ a. inotification trin faith alme (32. 3, 4) [Paline anplains]
b. Prqmensiss q ains thin lorimp obedicnce to God's cimmands (50.5). [notisteil]
4. Resurrection $q$ the body dearly taupht.
B. Eccles cology.
5. The charch is the chasen reiple $f$ god.
6. Guthint q eldes aproited by lyas aymonent from the C.T.
7. Disaphine and ades in the fellowsing are stressed.

Weakness:

1. Biblical conception of Chist's unk 7 not really undentood and inwardly appopprited.
2. Syinifecince of faith

区. The SHEPHERD of HERMAS ( $97-100$ A.D.)
Tutrod: 1) An early exhortation to repentance, in from of popular apocalyptic romance, bizarre oymendion.
2) Tenners sap the book was reed as Holy sanptine.
A. Neology

1. Chistology (a) not adoptionist (i.e. Xnot separate divine person, but toby spins dwelt in his flesh). Discrimination is clear between preexistent Clint and Holy stint (Sim. $5 ; 9.12 .2,3 ; 5.6 .2$ etc.)
(b) In 0.T. placed men under protection of angels, then himself became mon in oder to purim them.
2. Solution - direct, associated nits peron of Chit.
3. State $q$ the behaves.
a. Weak and full $q$ sim in hunseif, bent dwelt in by the Holy ghost, thereby receiving powers $f$ the $\sin f$ quod.
b. Sins are forgiven thin baptism.
c. Moral life is demanded: - $(2$ emphases)
1) Fundamental subjective condition y moral lie - forth, i.e. Munoledpe and ache mledpement $q$ God as creator, and timing of heart $\&$ god.
2) Moralistic emphasis l lie promised for keepiy commandments.
4. Concept q repentance: - (central note)
a. Second repentance (after Baptism) granted by God in special was the preaching of Hermas.
b. Distrignsines between min sins and complete apostasy. (Beaming of Catholic distraction between venial and moral sims.) No Chistion can live intent min moral feats - for these he takes repose in lad. But for main sin of apostasy repentance (like conversion) is necessary.
B. Ecclesiology
5. Chung nests an Christ, the ancient rock nits the new door.
6. Not all within the risible church are tine behaves - Church must be purified.

General Estrimate of Apostolic Fathers

1. Leadnif features
a. Cue god, Creator, Father, Governon, who choses Chistains as his people.
b. Gens Chist, sin $f$ god, God.
1) actrie at creation, but no depinite doctine in reand 8 his pre-existence $(2$ clm. mares him a creeture
2) God - but no dejinite dothine $q$ relation $\$$ the Fathes, $n$ intin $q$ dime-inoman native
3) Appeared in the flesh - but no doctive $q$ mathod $q$ inicarnetion.
c. Ininitanan baptromal fromala pesered.
d. General apoument on pinpluess o human race
e. Geans Chist is redeemen - by death pued man from in and death - but no distint conception' $q$ the impntance of $X_{s}$ deatin (the redeuptin is inale dejperdent in it)
f. Saluation - described in different ways -: -
4) Frpuenesso of aris thm baptisim - but its eppupiaince in whole $x_{n}$ life is obsc-at.
5) Commumion inith God, the initullay o the faltas.
6) Kunwledpe $q$ god
7) The new yow.
8) Etemal lije as the reward of moral limip.
g. Means \& appropiating saluation blessings:
9) Baptiom - in new lije and fy verisos.
10) The Wand q God
11) Te Lnd's Supper
12) Inflience $q$ sood a pels. (He:mas)
h. Faith and zood wonks: 1) Faith is the first otep.
13) But aften that man must ment frpmeriess by grod works.
14) Love is displayed in good unks - faith expreicites in symifzance.
i. The Chistion hives in correction ists a clionch-in harming y opint and in ploup centrast to the hathen wolld. Its units is based on chist. The clencal Afice is hipily estermed, but the pue actritin of all belicisis in op intal thinips is recopmized.
j. Vivid eschatology - 1 Ind of this vaim unle is near
15) Hell is real

2. Two man weakwesses of the Apstric Fattirs.
a. lack of understindiy. \& the wink of Chist (the t Geatle yinnemce fo.T. picippostris?)
1) His diect unk in the frpmeriess of ains by his deatt.
2) His relation \&o the fathes.
b. Moulistic tendenty (not ine \& Judaic lepaliom, bet heatton morahiom).
3) Fingesess becomes inot the osental object $q$ paith, but the reword $f$ iurks.
4) Lone loces ts wives, mpluie proses and turm \&o the filfill of $f$ the commendments.
 which Muluir lepulime extered the durach.
3. Two fundumental mules of fouth for the Apostohi Fatters.
a. The Sciptures
1) Old Trestament - noed as impallible authonty by Chist (Mutt.5:17); (k. 22.44) and aporttes (Rom 1.2 .4 )dd 38.220$)$
2) Words 9 Chinst (Matt. 10:40; I Thess. 4:15, Acts 20:35) (Ram. 4.14, 2 Clem 2:4). Fint: 131 A D. Bannal
3) Wads $q$ apostles ( 2 Thes. 2:15) (Igm. Íal. 2:2, Mapn. 6:1; IClem 47:1). Fist: 110 a.D. by Poycup.
4) Antignostic facturs at and $q$ ind c. repard reeoguntion oq anthints i NT as almans in the chmech.
5) But caum \& scuptnes not deymed - in places included: Hermes land 2 Clement

Bamakes apocalypue of Peter Didacke Praedicatio of Peter.


1) Oldest pron is ancuent Roman formula, based on an apostalic baptismal frumile.
2) Impentance $f$ this fixed dogma: -
a) Peserved the cinocinsiness that paluation depends on the unk $q$ Chist.
b) Taupit doul $\%$ consinit docturie as the doctune' o the deeds $q$ God.
c) Tauput men \$o ineer deeds of God under a thinitarain conception.

Part III. The Apologists

1. Quadratus ca. 125
2. Aristides
3. Justim Martyn. d. 166 , b. ca. 100.
4. Tatian
5. Athenagoras ca. 170
6. Theophilus
I. Justin Martyr

Intod: 1) grotmi - lawyer and philosophes.
2) Becomes $X_{n}$ aftes tyimp: (philasopher tumed $x_{n}$ )
a) Storicion - recd. no knowledpe $q 90 \mathrm{~d}$.
b) Ariototdian Perpactetics - eacher wanted a fee
d. Platomats - leamed concept of
c) Pythagoeean - lected back poonend in music, astionomy, germety.
3) Fulfiled prophery was the $x_{n}$ aypument that but convictios:
4) Wriks: Fuot and Second Apolaqy ca. 150 A.D.

Dialogne wits the geir Trypho.

1. Apologetic axpument:
a. Answer to ettrical indictment: 1) Xns not athents - unships tme God, tho not Empers.
a) Xns not pon citizens - quinet, law-abidung, tho they drist take ghices.
3) Xus. not uninual-don't camibalize, infanticides eex. ite. "Youn e pirectipy on us your own tranogresoions.
4) Xno are poletainan - twe and prond of it.
b. Intellectral defense: XtM is superio to beot pasan plilisophies.
5) greeks had come truth prom Gid - Soerates, Plats, Heracleitus. Plato got ins best ony pman Hocs.
6) But Hebrew prophats had better tuith fim God, exp. Moses.
7) Better yet - pull tirth is only on followers g g.X.
8) Summany: a) Xtry is a better philosoph than guk. $\phi$.
b) Xt, is better than Yudarim: (1) Moxaic law only a coyy; Xt, the etemall low itsely.
(2) I.X. not just a prophet, but the doyos incanate.
2. Chistion Doctrine: (Goodenaph clinir he follous Philo)
a. Concept of god.
1) Gueck eloment - God is wholly othes, beynd appace, timie, conceptual thot; ween beynod numaben

- Unkegoten, Numeless, interly transcendent. God is aboolute, yet:?

2) Chistion element - Creatr, Smereypn, fudpe, Father. Pod is in concrecte ulatim to man.
b. The Logos - relates God to uninerse. $\lambda$ oyos $=\operatorname{God} q$ O.T. theophemis $=\operatorname{Chin} t$.
3) Creation - not by emanation, in thes supgests unlikeness and unsmbistantucit. not by bepetting. fo this umeld be to phpecial.
perhaps kuidled, as fire by fire.
4) activity of doyos:
a) apent of Fatter in creation and gmemment of universe.
b) Seminal doyos - pernasme pinaple \& life: - adapted fum Storics: Aoyos orisppuickas 1) Frist used to explain how children are like perente - yamic con upt. Aho plents ate.
5) Expanded by strics into metaphyical concest, ratimat focis q all lipe. Seed prom great doyas makes man rational.
c) doyos = Wisdom and power of Qod, geratiyj cosmicall, and apecipicall, humenwend.
 b) contracted 8 iniffable, wholly tronscendent O.T. God.
c) Incarnate in gous Chinst.
c. The Holy Spuit - no trints. "Effect produced in man by act of grod. (Calhmo)
6) Frequeith, mentinio H.S., but malces no distucicim betimean H.S. and dopa (Me9)
[3: jet uses the trinitenan baptismal fromula]
d. Sin and redemption.
7) $\operatorname{Sin}$ - a) No nipinal pin - just particular ains, avisinp furm yennance $q$ consequences of cm .
b): Man may obey god whenever he wishes. Sin is aviridable. (apd. I.43). Free will.
8) Redemption - by uncarnation of doyos. Two sawip uonks:-
a) Pevelation - gives men motrie or not aminnip.
b) Cosumption $f$ curse on man who broke the law. But no clear doctime $f$ atmement.
9) Saluativi by: a) Conniction that Gid demands usitue, pumises sim.
b) This conviction leads t expentence. Repentance reworded by frymereiso of past oin.
c) Recospition \& Geano' Messuahohip also a condition q oaluation.
d) Baptosm
e. The Chuch ("the ark oq caluationi)
10) Memberstip for individual respmonas \& revelation.
11) Charactristis: (a) Teachip the tuth
(b) Practice a sacraments
(c) Disaphuie.
t. Esclatologn - piemillennaial.
II. THE APOLOGIStS (summarized)
1. Qeneral characteristics: (Calhmn) $X_{n}$ theology arose prom practical necessity.
a. Aim - make Xty acceptable $t$ educated perple.
b. Doctrine centered on 入oyos.
c. Central claim - we hare the tirth the pinlosophers seek.
d. But eimphasize that chinotainty contrasts isith heathermsm.
2. Chistian Doctrme , the apologiots. (Seebeng)
a. God - (1) absolute attribiteless Existence
-(2) Son is doyos, finst-bom unk \& the Fatter, God $\$$ be unshipiped, yet thal, man.
(3) Trinty (Theoph. ii, 15; ATh. 12 $) \rightarrow$ "carried away, with this deine aly, to oee gord and the loopos vitith him. What is the mints o the Sm with the Futthen? Fhat the fellowship of the father with the Jon? whal the up it? What the im in and diference $f$ thase sho are thas minted - the ip it, the itn, and the F.the? 17003
b. The wak o Chust.
1) Teacher 7 the race - teaches (a) One God
(b) The new law, regining a cirturn bife.
(c) The resurrectim and immatait,
2) Suffemips and death \& Chist sipmificent and cosential-but aplopists dosit seem \& underotand why his death was necessan. No atrrement doctinie.
a) By his suffermips he takes men's curse on him.
b) Then biniy fomgeneress of ains.
c) Then set pree prom death and the power of the deril.
c. The Chunch - the people of god. Islands 7 eapet, where turth is tayght, virtue reyino.
d. Bodily reunnection
3. Impontance $\&$ the Aprogists.
a. Reveal that chunch lalened purm same depects as in dayp of copostohi fathen.
1) Inadequate docturie \& unk of Chist.
2) Morahism
b. Qive us the beginnmps $q$ theolary in the chunch: - $k$ make it intelligible 5 the cultrred it was friced into "freign pramelink I the selfion 7 cuson', inth the followinp ideas made promment: "
3) Abstract (Platrine) conception of God.
4) Stric doyos docture emplined to make divinity \& Chist compremensisibe.
5) Theon thatoman's fallen state cmisists essentially in iqnorance and motality (b) redemption conasits \& instinction and immortality.

Part IV. Aberrant Christianity

1. Genish Chistianty
2. Marcion
3. Cinosticisom

Reasous on prolificity of abevant froms:-
a. Plasticits of $X_{n}$ teaching in this period
b. Revelation concept encomaped many $\hbar$ speak ont.
c. Presence g many heathen cults - converts prom Hellenistrei, mintel ete cults bumput in their un intespectations.
I. Undaizini Chistainta, (influence conpined to east q grdan, Syina, $q$ lithe doctimal inpritance).
A. Moderates - gevish Chiotinns: didrit expect all Xus $\%$ be Gews.

1. Follm Peter and games, the Inde brotter
2. Prinaples:
a. Genish convents otell bound by Moses, not so the Geentile connerts.
b. Agree in faith nith Catorhic Chunch - deith q Chinst, ingm birth.
c. Adhombledpe Panl, but in practice retam their natimal law (prs themabes, but not Qenthos).
B. Chisotion Phanises (oppments of St. Panl) - expected all $X_{w s}$ \& be gews.
3. Gevish.Clinstion gppmente \& st. Paul
4. Vinis:
a. Demand strit lepaliom of all Chistains - circumeision and the law.
b. Reject Paul as apostate.
c. Rejed Catholi doctrine - deity \& Chint, inpm birth. (Xbecomes Smqgod thm piety).
d. Rject mot o Bisle wase only a recension of Mathew, Evirinte gopeol of the Hebrewes.
C. Quish Gnostusm - opecmatative and asacti.
5. Slkesaites - followers \%' 'H $\lambda$ xorai who clamined new anpelic rewelation
a. Second beptism In fremeriess q simo, phpical healing
b. Rejects Paul, and Catoohic docturi (e.q. Vipm bith). Euchanot celemated with water.
c. Rotrological experstitimo- adopted in altempt at propular Aymerctomi??
6. Clementwies - Twenty Homicies, and the Recogrutiones (combine pppulen Catiticiaion + Qustici dements.
a. God is to Tixy (the All).
b. Law q antopmism - both Clist and deril opinp pon God; doble line 1 prophets - the male (good) and the female (bad).
c. Chint is son a God, but not god.
d. Asceticaom - vegetade dect, pustibition m merraje.
7. Histrical influsuce-mly upon Mohnammedainam this is said \$s be the from $q$ the that Mohammed contacted (cf. Wellhansen, Skigigen v. Vorabeiten H. iii. 197.f1)

III. Gnosticism

Introduction: -

1. Qripm - Traditinally form Simm Mapus of Samaina. Three Types in apotiti ape: -
a) Opraition the sensums, feedom $\frac{1}{}$ the spinit. Ascetion.
b) Relipins philosoplical speculation. 8.q. Cainthus.
c) Mapic of asceticion - Simon Mapns, Menander.
2.. Spread uidely fum 100 A.D. m. Graps and leaders:-
a) Cerdo and Satourlus. Then inflemence.
b) Basilides and Valentumis. Bardesanes.
c) Gamps: - oplites, Sethtes, Hiasenes ate. Some claim these are compted porno $f$ the gusticion \& the leaders; others that the leaders syptemeatized the thmput $q$ the graps.
2. Snuces \& quaticiom:-
a) Babylman actrology
b) Persian dualiom

d) It is not a philsophizinp of Chistiantin. (Harneck vs.), $n$ a Hellenization o X Xty (vs. Hamect).
3. General Characteristics:-
4. Synecetism - frist attempt $\$$ bimp uneld ints subjectron $t$ chuch by harmoniziy the hov .
5. Master-concept: saving grosis. Under this it fused Anphin, Heirew, Platomic, Xh, nature cults.
6. This yuwors - not pimanly opecalatire, but dwime revelatinn of mystenis, i.e. formulae necessany to conquer body and wold.
7. Metaphpieal duahiom combined with ethcial and relipions dualism-saluation and destructim.
B. Chif Doctimes.
8. Frum opint-unld [ $\beta \cup \theta_{0}$ (abpss), in $\pi \lambda_{1} p_{p}$ wpa] emanates present uned.
9. Creation not by ouppeme God, but Demimpe, a God $q$ the Gews.
10. Remnant pum opuit-unld exiots in realm $q$ matter. This is ti be saved by soterivlogiced process
11. Sensuononess is the sil in man. The body in the pison of the eml.
12. The Redeemer. (pum spint-unld) is Gesus Chist, who eithen inhebits a body, n appears it. He redeens the opint by minp it knowkede, thas strenp thaniy it to release tself from matter.
13. Mral attitudes-donble from: sither (a) asceticiom - body is evil.
$n$ (b) licentorsmess - bod, doesn't matter.
14. Lack doctrme of resurectivi $q$ the dead; Chistion exchetology.
C. Valentinus
15. Documents:
a) Quotations in Hippolytirs and Irenaens.
b) Miotis Eo申ía
16. Gnostri syptem
a. Guound of reality - Bu oos (abyss). Creaton there. Eiyn. (silence) early thmpht of as companion $f$ createn, but probably not in the onipinal idea.
b. $\pi \lambda_{\eta \rho \omega}^{\prime} \mu \mu \alpha, \frac{\text { aeons emanate }}{3}$ from $\beta u$ tos . thise are explications of God.

1) Nous - the povoyiuns, the real, opintual eom. Others not so profirind.
2) $\sum \omega \phi 1 d$ - the yompest, tris $\&$ be like Godand produce bemps. Motive-cmisity. The result is disaster-she produces only non-being, formkess mass with no place in the $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$.
c. Achamoth () - projection q wisdom ints area q um-beimp by zowpras tolly. vit in this false birth a part q anbstance of opint remains, in it is part popintual acon.
d. The problem: 1) How to peenent गApowpot prom losimp its reaity $\$$ achamoth.
3) Aow t recaptine spint from acharmith.
e. The anower: calvation and redemption.

4) Limit made $\$ \pi \lambda$ ypwpa and 2 new aeros added: Gesno Chinot and soly spint.
5) In f.Chist (docetic) were parts $f$ all aems ('finmess q the G-anead).
6) Demi-mpe, on $q$ Sophie, shapes up achansth ints this world. His ammal shrewdress enables him to shape up body, but his lack $q$ inisdom keeps him furm realizing that a postum o opint is in body. This gets inti certain human beimps, who are this saveable:-
a) $\psi$ XIrsor - no Sophie, carit be saved.
b) Tivzu $\alpha$ TINol - pome Sopinie, saved by being entried furn terupnal unld t ternal.
7) g. Chist and anpels directed to biny revelation and athraction for the Jveupatiroce.
a) TVEuMatinol espand to hm , wen afth he's gone; they leave body, citum 8 tetanal haine.
b) Eventually all get to etemal home - (q. getterimp q the elect)
c) Then conflaperation bums up phpical uorld and deminge. Tilyowpa is then all in all.
f. Serytinal jistipication by fanciful alleprization - nepted by treasas.s.
8) 30 aems $=30$ yss. + Chist.
9) Sophia tonching alcther of Lealip = healing ifll women.
10) Trupedy of Judes, 12 B apotle $=$ twech of Sopme, 12 II aem.
3. Sttric 1 Valentmis.

b. Protipicatom by fomialel allysization \& Parl.
4. General tempen 7 grosticisin:- theosophical, not everevelial.
a. Inahiom, shay live between ojeint and ceath not a gospel, an Affimatim

b. Macie - wan \& Actum is opint not otheid a spinital, but magical.
c. No fath - jot recogition \& grosis.
d. Socape seluation- int in but phem the wolld
5. Modem conterparts: Now Thmpert, Chinotion Sasence, the attempt to readept. He


Note: demest $q$ dectim is peost, but in the sence $q$ being diferat, not 4 being choven firm teen tho are all alike.
II. Marcionites
A. Life \& Maraion:

1. Diven form home chuch in Sinope on adultery chare, comes \& Rome 140 A.D.
2. Son \& wealtty chiponere - quies Roman chmich "(0,000. But beconves dissatiopel.
3. Apanizes new chuch under infl. q Syinan Gustri; Serds.
B. His teachinp.
4. Geveral characternotics :-
a. Central affirmation - antettesis between law and grace, god q 0.T., god q N.T.
b. Central authint - Parl.
c. He is no grostre (as Harnack unold daim).
1) Tliotis, not yuwas is his key-und.
2) Lay no claim to secect, hidden writupor.
3) No claim ts phap distuction between opintual inspit in fow, and the unoased.
4) No hiphls elaberated expetem of thmpht-ratter pisents specipiciffansins.
5) But dinus grostic trios - (1) dualion, (2) anti-gudaisin (3) rejectimi q 0.T. 901 ,
2. Doctrine:
a. Inadequacy of the old apptem (impl. by reading galatiais) in the O.T.
1) The law is bad - partalus of the character of the wolld, is a hindranceto man.
2) Wreld is imperect - bups, death, maluntution. No hour $t$ its creator.
3) Creatr not altogetter bad, but limited in powes, unable $t$ get good unld ont of matter (which may be a pimuple of will). Creatrs rather stupid, mefectual.
a) Repented of the task when he seaw he was unable ts do it mpit.
b) Gealons of man's efforts it gain innoledpe - \& inides man he lays dann law and attaches punshment in disobedience.
4) Soluration passapes in 0.T. are madegnate - ouly earthly properit, not etemal saluation.
b. Need \& a the gopel, the gond news. (recension of N.T.)
5) Ime god is God q Redenption, not q jrastic and creationand law.
6) In line he ounds Savion, his Son and God thinself, in form $q$ man, but not neal body (docetic).
7) Chist's unk was confhit with O.T. God, who seemed his death on cross. Then descunds To retteruned, wins the wicked, but not the O.T. saints who depend on law.
8) Chist frumds compectely new ked-aparated from created corld.
C. Analupis of Documents: made his oun canon.
a. Ryected O.T. save as twe recod q hiw uneld was created, goremed.
b. Imended N.T. : - 1) Eaited lake; rejected other goopels.
9) Accepted Paul's epiotles, lant edited ant "interpolations."
D. Reonuts q Marcinite conthovesy: -
1. Led chuch to re-examing $x_{n}$ witups; form is canon.
2. Led chunch $\%$ : 1) ilentic Ceats and Redeemes save Gol.
2) recosquize both jristice and mercy in Good.

Part I. Solidification of Christianity
A. Chistaints was oolidiped by the raisip of three barners apainot heresy:

1. The Canon: adopted vs. Maraiontes and Ebioutes.
2. Tightennip chuch ader and disciphime - aposthic enccession.
3. The Creed: Cposttes creed adouted vs. docetists.
B. The Apostle's creed: noed in Rome after 150 A.D.
4. Oripm:
a. Token and contersipn of chmesh membership - nsed as passand. Msnally based in early NT otatements, e.9. Peter's. "Thon art the X."

Pauls," Germs Chit is cad."
b. Baptisial formula - natial compsoin q faitt of now member
c. Fintiaton formula: a) carepul catechecicial motinction.
b) Renten inatmetion by Bishop (e.g. Cyil)-lectres to lluminati, acompained by fastrups and vigils.
c) Buthomal coremsiny - it Anclotied, cleansed inth il.
(Easter)
2) Fromula q renumaistionं \& Satem, CCammin Chist.
3) Repects baptrimal creed.
4) Inmersed 3 times, repecato creed, attendo enchaint.
2. Development a creed. (not "apotles" ared)
a. Eridence: 400 Rufinus' commentarm. Latm:

336 Marcellus of Ancige (im Epiphemins.inaes. 52 ai. 72 )
250 cau be traced ints certainty t middle quertion (Nowatim, detim.)
200 Tectallion oame is abme.
185 Thenaens - sypux. quotes inme Toman cied
[Not m Iquation, al pyouts]
apottes oceed, mit misains, is triceubl t old Tivan cied \& 150 A.D. probabch pom Geek baytionad
[Not in protim, where Aldanty expet it (ina)]
b. The Greed: "I belive in God (the Fatter) Achatenty and in ferms Clint his [mby beotten] eon, the very me who was bam $q$ [the Holy Spint and I the Vium. Man, who was crispied by Pontuis Plate and buned; the thind day he rose apaim prom the dead; he asconded ints heaven and sitteth in the ipit of the Fatter, whence he chall come $t$ jndpe the rincec and the dead; And in the Hikp spint, [the Hoh Chuch], [the forymeniess q eins], the sisuriectim 1 the borly.

* Quoted purn Calhnmi's wotes. [] indiate paraseses in Manceliens (336AD.) it
 inginal ueraion. Calhm follews Mc Gittat p. 157.

3. Reason's fri creed's adoption: the themis.

* a. Polemical - as depunce vs. docetico, Marcimites, Gnastico.

1) "Emphasis m clanse abent ysus on earti - anti-quistic.
2) "Resursection o the flesh" vs. Marcion and grotis who thot \& opint peed prom the flesh.
3) Mc Giffert periaps wrome m stetrip that most natural cintext if "holn chucch" and "knqueriess 7 sins" clanses is the Decion persection ( $250 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{o}$.), for three whe then beip hotly debated. Mne prokably in ninimal creed.
b. As anmman for peachers and missimanis not polemied.
4) But is eo, mexplicaile are the missims of indis persable doctrmes 1 Chists saviny work, redemption; Chinstion ettri and dut, relation of faitt \& lawi regeneration ate.
5) Qbone pints not in debate at trme. Heacion accepted tom all
c. Cunchavion: Oposte's creed was anti Mareinite sumbol. Adoptet like thiare and Chalcedon for a opecypi isine, was not anperseded unte another leresy gppeared. Anamion which called fritt the Nicene creed.

Part VI. LeAders vs. Aberrant Chkistianily + Paganism
I. IRENAEUS ( 185 A.D.)

Intro1: 1. Emiputed pom Gais Minin (dise. q Polyceap) $\hbar 14 m$, Gaul. Becomes bis Liop.
2. Impertance: a) Mediated between East + West - Enotes contimesy, reviradiom.
b) Fist chmch thedogaen : - (1) Apotolic canon, ceed, bishore.
(2) United ethical + retepinn; lepal - mostic = Cathohic.
3. Wats: *Adverons Haereses (ca. 185)

Demmotration \& apostelic Preaching
A. Polemic apainst Heresy (qnostic).

1. Agpinit numbers. Richinles heretio' use of Sciptne + numbers. Numbers aise int $q$ addes, not vice-versa. Neo-Pathapreans are just inflatimp-thei ann eqo.
2. Inconsistencies. e.q. a) Valentinus hes Logos-Wond existry same time as Silence.
b) Kinpowha in pure opuit,: 1 aubstance. Yet doyos ginent y Fattes. Soyme is rebellimo, ets. How can ail and difference exist in Mגypwpa if it is I enbstance?
c) If Demimpe made unld acc. \$ ideal model (th, $\pi \lambda$ yppua), what was $\pi \lambda_{\eta}$ pura's model.
B. Defense of Orthodry.
3. aportchic canon-sciptures q O.T. and N.T. are decisine. (But N.T. Limits no une firmily freed at and $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ ad c . thon at beginmip. 8.9. Thenaens need termas as conorical). Inspration is dinecth ascribed \$ sciptures ( 5. 28.2, iii, 16.2,9), as lyay 7 piaitive Xt.
4. Apostohic ceeed - "camm $g$ tinto", necessany becanse herctiss also noed ocmpture, but misintenputed it. Traced creed back thm apotles \& Chint ( $I$. iii, pray.; vpac.; i.10.1)
5. Apotolic episcosen - recessam becanse creed conld alas be misintepreted and expanded.
a. Bishogs as "enccesons 7 the apotles" (Ir. iv. 26.5). But still all beherines are priots; and the spiscrace, is not hirarctical, mereh the beeres of the trith. The chunch is not "essentich the episerpan."
b. Pre-emmence q Pome (1) as pre-emment city q Enpine, (2) fouded be Petes and Paul.
(3) dear reend $q$ ancessoin (4) centrelits made it easy $q$ conection
(s) leneost chunch and greectat morty doms.
C. Theology
6. qod is Qne (vs. Gnostics) and Geater (oppuation a God + creets woso fundamental Gunotic erre:
a. Gnst and mercimel both.
b. Creator $q$ both from and matter o uneld. Not mere chaper $q$ aheady seisting staff (Demimpe!
c. Wholly mind (Vovs), und (Xoyos), Lipit, lipe etemelly - not fuist me, then ansther as quostics.
d. Livin Trinity
7. Geatim.
a. Winld is created food, with harmory $q$ its different parts, e.9. mpint and day.
b. Man
1) Inape q God pee, intellyent capachth in immintalit.
2) God's law (i.e. natural law 4 Decalogue) implanted in tin heart. Freedom acendiny is this mplante pattem
3) God's comenants nits man (poppessive) - Oddanic, Masaic, Love in gesus Chist Sometmis mad. Niah.
4) Free will - power of chrice $\hbar$ obay $n$ not obey. Free both in wrise and faitt. Friti is volentory.
3. Salvation
a. Necessary because of Adam's foll (Friot \& Factur to emphesize the Fall- Meq.)
"Bompent man under contiol $q$ Setan, thingh otell pree $t$ ober the law in his heart.
2) Deppined him 1 divine likeness, i.e. unmostait. : bernets death.
b. Two otapes in saluration: -
3) Release porm control q Satan by Chist's perfect obedeince - und q recapitulation, does nint all thet Adom did wrons. avakEqadaiwois = recopitilation:
a) The death of Chist-(1) crowning act of $X^{\prime}$ s obedenien
(2) recopitulation 1 Alamis pull.
(3) renoom pide to Saton for mans release.
b) Releare incolves the necesit, qupitemoness, fo $\$$ sull apain $\$$ Satan is imfriwiveable. No second chance. Riphtemsness is obedience \& the low \& God: netural not ceremsinal law.
c) Thee-toeld suetation in Cesus Chint:-
(1) Reveals man to himey - sus his baduess + prsibilit \& groduess.
(2) Reveals God t man
(3) Reveals man th God..God sees man as good.
4) The attainment \& immataity - Arcalloijris
a) Man v-acquirs the dirie nature - God became man, so man mipt becme God.
b) Saluation micludes both bods and apint - reunectirn of the bods.
c) Bacis-union 1 diviris and human in Geoms Chinot. Complecte identification \& God and Sin (doyos)
c. Attaimment of paliation- combination \& divine grace and haman ment.
5) By obedence - lepalisti release from Satan.
6) By wain win pod-my.tinf prostupation in mimentalty thingh (a) kuth - dismis pace.
(b) Kumuledpe
(c) sacuments - humen meist.
4. Chistology - hiph. Chist butt true God and true man. Necessam for saluation.
5. Commanion q aints:-
a. Present: - inntry in lime and the saccaments
b. Finture - units (Evvors) in millenvial paradiee.
II. MONTANISM ( $150+350 \mathrm{ca}$.
A. Qripm - Montanns, prist q Cybele, comverted to Xty, zealmos to reprom chunch. Heard vories, clarined to be unipue upan of H.S. 2 ummen diriples:
B. Doctrimally athodre except in paraclete enphasis.
6. Claimed prophetic revelations and travices. Revelation not final ints $J . x$, for montams is the peractete. Heresy.
7. Axiliason - premillemial chroulopy. (1) ape q law - infany.
(2) ape oftol apoittes - y th
(3) ape of Mintanns - maturity.
C. Etinically ascetre. Shm uneld, cont mastpudion.
8. Severe farti
9. No wnidh amnsements - theates, gamess sts.
10. Strut chactit - no second mamapes.
D. Ecclesiastically anti-herarchical. Red to disturst in chch.
E. Reants:
11. Brinpent the gift $q$ prophesying int disnepute. Cided doimp of camon.
12. Hasteved by reactin Hendency q chanch to monal laxity - dmble sitandaid pe cleyy + laits pradually emeyed.
13. Discrechited chilasm.
14. Stengthened by ts exceseses ecclesiastical paijation, prower q bisupr.
III. MONARCHIANISM

Entroduction: (1) Onipmated as defence of moothersm reconaled int deits q Chist.
(2) Two-fold pimajel \& recriale $X_{s}^{\prime}$ deits + monothersm -
(a) Makinp the man fesms the beeres $f$ the di is ip it. [yous $f$ (hist]
(b) Recognizinp in christ the peroon of the father humielf.
A. Dynamistic Monarchianiom (adoptimiom). e.q. Parl q Samosata, s. 260 .

1. Theodotis the Fuller, ca. 190. Excommacmested by Ppevitor.
a. Chist descends inqui-bom geans at baptism: Chist = doyor $n$ duvapis $=$ impasanal $p$.
b. : Geans not God until baptom.. Ohers, not until recuncction.
2. Paul of Samorate, ca. 260. Depsed as bising by Emp. Anchian, 272; $2 x$ munnmiated as nerctic by sypod \& Antroch, 269. Itis pponent-Malchion. Also condemed-"opoovorios
a. Geuns is ingui-bon man in whom dwells divine Wisdom.

Nominaloin- - y
b. The thine Wisdrmis not a suparate limpatisis, out exiats in God as unson exists in man.
c. Geans umin inth God was thm the indwellimp $x$ syos was a moal minvo, achuried by pinity and ipate moness, not a natual unvin. Unim 9 purpose, not metaphyirs.
3. Chif engheses: -
a. Unconditimed unty \& Gid- Noyos is not aepareti mppotasio.
b. Adoptrinam - Spint (Chist, doyos, prowes) deccinds a the wan Yesons.
B. Modalistic Monarchianiom (patripassinsim, Sabelliansism). e.q.

1. Proveres, ca. 180. - patripassioniom. opposed ky Ertillian. Alw. Pacar..
a. Father and the Lon ss ine and the same. Geens Chinst $=$ God. $\therefore$ Father woss boun and aupesed.
b. God in his spiritial existence is the Fatter: im his matenal (pieshif iexsience the Sm.
2. Noetus q Smyma, ca. 200. - "The Sin q God is his mosin, and not another's."
3. Sabellims
and retenption
a. God is a unity (monad), bet for pupse foreatumn ne cossumes three firms nomodes:
1) Fatter - 0.T. creats and law-guies. \}
2) Sin - N.T. Iman and Redeemen $\} n$ "3 names in ne orject (impostasis'
3) Spinit - descunds un aportles. Sanctipar.
b. Not anccession q modes, but all 3 are etemal, jnot as mm is sound, bupht, nasus at came time.
4. Spread to Roman prees - Victro, Zepmysinme, Callistiss. Ippsed by Hypp fegtis who was chayed with iutressm.
C. Auti-mmanchean Cinfessing of Futh at Autrich, 267. (vs. Pane $q$ samooste)
5. God came in human nature (puris audpwitou
6. gesus chist in god by berig and natue (ovorin k. ívootaris).
[Though condenned, Paul sypued is give up keys and mosp potected by zenobia nutil she weos depected by amedin. Then Paul uno motel in bil $p$ q actixh. I


7. Analyis o Mmanhiamoin:- (Seebey)
8. Weatuess:
a. Packs due promimine to seiptrual dea q oedenptomis

9. Thenth:
a. Emphains in peremal units y God and attennt ts recmale this inth the dits q $X$.
b. Altempt क establioh the divine-human native q Clinot pon pront \& view \& will and perenal life, not 2 natumes (osp. Pand $f$ chemosiate) Mnal and oit inal, not metppppinal inits.
c. Germine nosistance on full dirinits of Chist.
IV. Tertullian ( $160-220$ A.D.)

Thtrod: 1) Wel-to-do lawnes converted in middle ape
a) Spintral pilpumpe: a) Storc
b) Chisition - vs. Maxaionite dualism and peparsion.
c) Montanom - vs. lukewarm, unelly Xty.

A. Doctrine of God.

1. Only one God - Monarchains npht here vs. Marcinite ophitess y God.
a. Ioqically - God is expmene :s snly me. If yods are egual-indistinpmashable; it unequal, ore is axpere
b. Empirically - unverse is me harmoninos whole.
2. But god is also three. (Tent's amomer \& Marion, Monarimais, quostis) Fuot dear presentation west.
a. God is thime in orkovopia, one in povapxio. Scmmic trinten-twime adinimit ation n sxecetive cantisl $q$ the unixise. Fathes, Son. Spint have differinp functions.
b. Tinitavian fromila: three persms who unn a share ne substance.
1) Substantic - baiss on mans lepal dentit, in socict, e.9. a kinps enbstance is What cinstilates his inissipp. Oud's enbstance $=$ kinpsmip $f$ conld .
2) Persona - a) lefal senves: a functuinal indivional, man a coppration. "Postry o lst part.
b) drancti sense: a nole on stape, a mask. Ane cole man be plared by 2 actros, a vice nera. 3 Peroma in functimal noles, not oscental berip.
c. Metaphirical explanations $f$ the twintr: -

1' Ion = doyos ir. mind \& Fathes given expression. Mind q God is etemal, but its expession has beqinmin at creation. Mind iutered as created und. (Pn vataphon)

3) Like minth, cheam and semce of mies-all ine.
4) like nelatim \& mind, thonpht, und. Mind expresses self in thimkimp and apeaking.
d. Prsibilts of difference in unts:-

1) $\{$ a) Ouvik = essatial being - can have diferences intion God. $\}$ considened same in T's tines

2) Differences in rank, bet not dufferece in ctatus q beiny God. Subendmationit. Fatter ist. Im Ind proceling pum Fatter, Spint zod at ascervim 1 Jin .
e. Doctmie of Holy Spint-coordinate nith Fattar, but not identipable vist muman Ceades. Middle-q-nood Mutawim - H.S. + enthusiasm wirntant, Lut not loculizid.
B. Gods Relation to Wold and Man.
1. To unld:
a. God crected nderly and harmmime oreld. (vs. Mancum - quostio)
b. Wrild crecter ex nilub (vs. Hermoperes)
2. Man and $\sin$.
a. Created "body and ent - body by gods hand, plastic fhind t recenve lje.

- surul by Gods meecth; makes mon livi, natinal, uminntal beiny

2) diference pum reyetable Cje, e.9. thes. Man has preedom and inmontality.
b. Man fell in adam - orpeially, oniles, but able $t \mathrm{sin}$, Adam emied; Donl became inational.
c. Adams in wherited then tansmitted onl. Traducainum.
3) Sunl is propapated ints bod, by perents, not pe-axistont.
4) Hence when adan's anl became tanted with inatimality, all fenture soms minerited the tanit. (Thiss is inipisit doterne q ispral sin, but docturie not dendoped by $T$. mipmal tanit does not wholly destion puedon to do nijlt, ace. \& Testilhan; hence ever, man is responsible (on hisoson sins.)
5) The esurt - all are in an actially mpeles, the thenehally cmable, tate $q \sin$. Dingial in.
d. Sin is seated in the will, not the flesh, and its esesence is disobetinice ts phirise lew.
3. Perns Cint and Saliation

1) It is Im, not Fatter, ho became incannate. (vs. Mreanchaino)
2) It's a human body and sul geans takes (vs. Docectsts)
a) Body - eeed 1 boity fum human peremtape.
b) Soll - seed of anl is diwine op onit a doyos. S
c) Spint-2 sewses: (1) ratival capaity 7 end $t$ buecte. Sil is yect $q$ nespation.

3): g.X. is me person mith 2 natives: (1) ma persma-1 fantial indinidual.
(2) tor natures: (a) dimie opint.
(b) humeen body iand anl (ntuad, ital pinijple).
3) Vapue on juot haw seluation is perected in g.X.
a) Tales on cuse
b) Breats pener of desil.
c) Wepirts the ealance inth divie inftesene es. dexils infteme nee we.
b. Man has cupaict, for gettrip yace - pace clomyes tree \$ podnce good proit. Frued im: buccesidinp prside.
4. The Chistian Life.
a. Baptiom - no isvitue im witer as ench, but God choses $t$ as veinide for lio spint \& enter and dimusate the baptized. A He becomes vew berip. Waten is cataitec

b. Fot Soptiaial din - even apter biption most men will fall away.


c. Repentance and confession necessam of frgwenes: 11 Pudhi confession

5. Enchatiog1 - all dead to Hakes witl pulp to dan ckept mastyns. Chiluosm.
X. CLEMENT OF AlEXANDRIA (ca. 200)

Thtud: 1) Pupl \& Pantaenns, and teacher o Onipen at Plexamina catechetial ochool. Head q ochrel 189-203.
2) Flees Alexandia in 303 under Severms persecution.
3) Yeneral temper: - easy-gomp, unherric, learned, liberal; not propuctic a comapenss. EThical, not ructopa piod.


c. ETpwHétEIS (Miscellanies) - defense of philowiphy and the logy
A. Qeveral Quthine of Timpet.

1. Touth is prom God thm oopos, therever fornd. : trie philosupliy is ralid.
a) Stries, Epicmeans no good, lent Plats otole form Moses - otll good.

b) Philooply inpitt nsed liyts the belivimp $x_{n}$ \& become a joostic (kumup) Chistin.
2. Two clasces q Chustians:
a) Relieviy Clinotion saved by oimple pith. Frith is pmainy and adequate, ent
b) Grostic Chistion who underotands inwardly his caluation. Qutes lath mist lead To miner lonosidye.
3. Kuowledpe (i.e. disprition q aind fracosed on Tuth, not mere prosession q inframet ins) leads ot pre and hty living.
a) Ideal of tine grostre is likeness $t$ Pod. (This is Clement's majn enphasis)
1) Self-cantisl (Goppoojun) - control \& the passions, aided to stabilt, by doyor. $\}$ moderation,
2) Passimlessness (a' $\pi_{\alpha}^{\prime} \theta_{r i \alpha}$ ) - Stori ideal q antrie elimmation \& passuns.
3) Practice of meray, kindliness, line for god and mam. Love. (Psitive intue.)
b) Life $q$ prayer
c) Loyalty it the chunch - paluation ink in connectron int the chuch; A Inowledge enly nitinim tradtion.
B. Specific Doctrines (Clement not a enptemati therlogian).
1. Doctmine \& God.
a. Piniospincal concept - God is Absolute, "Being beynd nature".
1) Totally other, wtterty transcendent, infunte.
2) Incompenensible, save by abstraction - for He is vithet attribites. We dont unow what he is, but we do lunow what he is not.
b. Relipins concept - God has made himself hroonn thm the koyos, onervise innimonvable viee come
3) Creatir \& the unld, providential miles of the miverse.
4) hoving and gracion beinp who cares for and saves men.
2. Chistology

1) The doyos is G.d, bent not the Abs chnte; - atture, he is God in relatisies. (Meq.
2) The doyos is Redeemer q alle men, binimg good ont q evil.
3) Doyos is co-eternel inith God (vs. Tertullien), but enberdmate, i.e. woriess by will q Fatter". Pown to grounded in the Fatter, weecrated be the doyos.
b. Incarnation - doyos became incarnate, but Clement is practically (not thenoticelly) a docetist (Sorcery)
3. Holy Spent - not personal (wo personal trinity), lat mapetis energy attractup men is God.
clement's goo is Fatter + Son; not Father, Sm and Holy quart.
4. Doctrine of man and salvation
a. Man is a sumner, disobeys God then weakness and yunance. But-
b. Man is free. Clement emphasizes man's fuedom to obey n disobey God.
c. Man is bumph back to God by the Xoyos. the Greet Teach u. Tine types g human response: -
1) Acceptance of law implanted in mind by doyos. Salvation than illummation.
2) Ignorance. When amoseness comes, then repentance.
3) Willful disobedience. Pumshment-but only as corrective, not umdictwenens.
[Clement doanit any whether le expects all $\$$ be saved]
d. Sacraments: 1) Beptom mipertant in making one a member of the chard + partiapant in peluration.
4) Eucharist makes participant in unmentality; fellowship nits chis.
5. Eschatology - all culminates in glowing vision of God; reannecton of the body.

VI ORIGEN (ca. 185-250)

Introd. 1) "Origen is moe positive than Clement, but Clement is more chasten than Open" (Seeder, I. 146,)
2) Temper: - hike, ojpinal and creative thinker; fanatical, heroic, stem; Tremendously erudite.
3) Head y alex. catechetical ochorl at 18 (Eusebius); wrote 6000 books (game).

A. General Outline \& Tempts

1. Basis - Scripture alme:(a): needed critical text. Result - the Hexapla. Hemew, Lxx + versions compared.
(b) Mettrod q inter peetation:- absolute adherence 5 church's coed, but alleqnied.

2) Allegoincal method: three-fold meaning - like man's book, en al + epirit.
a) Somatic (bodily) - literal sense
b) Psychic (some) - moral sense

2. Doctrine of God
d) Topological - Seriptnal cooss-neferences.
a. Hie nature: 1) Good, ever author $q$ esl. God is the Perfect, not the Absolute. The Self- Determined.
2) Witriate gond, and father q all - but not as absolute, ratter as perfect in
harmony. Not nithont attributes, but rather pull q richness + coherence. (vs. Clement)
3) Incongreal spent, but a personality
4) Incompechensible - not due to his nature, bet mn finiteness. Yet lam $n$ by lis inks.
b. Ware q approach t god.
5) Analysis a Abstraction - negative way: deny finite attributes re by ne.
6) Synthesis - affirm all perfections. Perfection q any thing in derived fum Yod-: Yod is perectimy what wo see.
7) Analogy - there is in God in an eminent degree all the good ne can find in finite thumps.
8) Revelation - this is basic int Onjeen. Witt this fist, the others can fol mw. Bible + Creed.
c. The doyos - minos Good as God does. Sometmies called इopix.
9) Essential attributes: a) Wisdom and Life - as agent of creators
b) Ward and Tunth-as agent $y$ light.
10) Temprad (accidental) qualities: connected usn unis q redemption - quod. Man, Incarnate Word, etc. Not charactenstic in stents, but taken on self by situs q historical events.
11) Relation to Yod - co-cetemal, divine, yet enionidmate. Dependent an god as an mage on the onqmal; yet God Father also ontologically dependent in the son.
uses und ópoovoria - darned by both anis and athonasins.
12) Incarnation: a) doyos could not duectty assume human body - unlikeness to great.
b) Unites sits a created spirit who had paned self unit, by hie fo vitus
c) This spent + loos bor as human body, advanced step by step \$ deity
d. Theodicy- Oripen preserved the pistice q Yod by 2 concepts:

13) Uuturiate salvation or all -even devil. Origen is tatter of universalism.

a. All thins have beimp prom the Fatter (Creator)
b. Rutimal thine get ratimality pun the Son. $\}$ all are ópoovoroc, i.e. identicd in sxisteatid cheractes.
c. Holy, natimal bemps get holuness prom Spint. Thus co-existent, yet existenticll, subendmate.
3. Doctrine \& creation.
a. Two parts कs univerce: 1) maternal - tempral $\}$ created, yet not in tume, etemal. Hence 21 spinitual - eternal perpetival creation (comb. \& Gpk. $+x_{n}$. concepts)
b. Nature yo Spritital univirse: (perhaps derined form reo-platoint Ammonin Saccus.
1) Composed + natumà spinits - pee becanse ratimal, perpet and equal. Free will.
2) Tince classes $f$ these opinits : a) grod anpels-chose unitue
b) bad anpels (demons) - chose evil.
c) men - chre inddle conse. Adam mly typical, not onginal.
c. Physical universe created in timi as place for traminy and saving men.
4. Dotrine q palvation.
a. Perpetual creation and redeuption thm encecessive acons. Men gradually inse n fall. Some fall as liw as atcicis a stmes - bet eventiclly all are paved.
b. Doyor absrived human emol - then becomes finite body in gesus.
c. Jeens - both Teacher and Rediemer: -
1) Teacher - instuncts by teachip and example. Show rewerds t puisishments; gens up dephr q widan $\mathbb{C}$ come.
2) Redermer - appeass bepes devil oftes deatin; ovecoomes him by radience ghis ghom. Frees captivies.
3) God ofers denil and $q$ xt in ctum for lost, knommp denil muble ts hold xt.
d. Saluation = restration of fallen apints कo nipinal likeness vith God, by: 1) Instinution q the Koyos
4) Senctification \& the spinst.
e. Man's part in saluation: 1) Faith, i.e. acceptance q the doctives q the chuch. Boin by pee will and God's quee.
5) Waks - saluativi + happiess depends even nure on good unke.
6) Hunoledpe - Liphers stage in the $X_{n}$ ipe than mere faits. (q. Clement $q$ Alles.)
5. Eochatlogy - as anti-pimillemial. Spinitualied the futive lije.
b) universalist.

Two sides \& Alugais thmphat: is Subndinationiso - Son mivious a mipes the Fatter.
2) Equairamamion - Im and Fatter me ópoourios (tho trim is desated in Ongien). At all events Onjen wed the ihea $q$ oproovorion.

Ante-Nicene Theology - General.
A. Chintology

1. Monarchianiom loses int $\hbar$ subndinationioin - e.9. The tis Dimpai.
2. Averape $\delta$ id c. foith: - pe-tempral Sir $q$ Grd, conceined $f$ in a Subendinationstic $x y$, became a real man.
3. Nomotive views:
a. Tertullian in West - one person with tin natires.


B. The Chunch
4. Basic position: 1) The Chunch is the bodn of men who behine the thitte.
2) The Chuch is the hoh perple of 90 d .
2. Three interpetation \& the holiness of the church.
a. Novation - Each reparate indiridual is holy.
b. Cypion - The bishops are holy.
c. Rome - The sacraments and ndmances are holy.
3. Histrmy $q$ the development $q$ the concept:
a. Callistus (217-222) in publication q new peintential orden cotablisines (athohi chunch concept:
1) Chuich no lones hod people 4 God holding common apostibic soith, but:-
2) Church is association If men enbiect $t$ control q beshop by vistre $q$ eproppal withints Topardir a retain sim. This becomes universally recognized by 250.
b. Cyprian ( +258 ) funthen develipes the conupt in trataiy pentence 4 lapsi.
3) Contrmesies - a) inti Novatus on epis cosal antherits vs. pesspters in pardoriny Cexpsi
b) inth Nivation m episcopal penity vo. totally pire chunch. Connelin vs. Nosation.
c) Assembly $\frac{1}{}$ bishops at Caringe establish episergal anttinit. A.D. 252.
4) Gpien's coneept 4 the darch. (Seebere I. Isoff.) Essume: Subietion t bidlops.
a. Bishops are Anccesens $q$ historic apostilate, beitimate teachen $㇒$ apot. Tedition (henaeus $c$.
b. Bishops also me inspred prophats, with chainsmat, hence anthintative (not in Iuvaens)
c. The chunch is fromided in the bishop, wo meies the leity, and pifes nacifific ( 1 ) is fist appearance of actial prestinerd $q$ the clepy.?
d. The episcopacy constitutes the unity $q$ the chucch. Unity + equilty $y$ bisiups [De rejects primain \& Stephemns \& Rome (Seeb. I Mas)]
c. No calvation intside the chuch. "it is not prasible that he chinld have 9-1 for his fatther who has not the chunch fon his motthes."

Part II. Two streams of thought (Rd. q Fathen + Son)
I. Example: The Tuo Dimysii - Dimyoins of Alexandie, and Dimysins q Rome. 1 Dimpins of Alexandria gpores groesding Sabellimiom, umphasizes Subnduratini demenent in Oppin.
2. Onthodx Alexanduans, ampicinin, accuse him q heresy-write Dimpsins. 1 Rorme.
a. The chapes - he teaches: 1) The Jon is not etenal, po there wass time when he was not.
2) Division 4 the $\sin$ from the Father.
b. This ohows attrodox fromula alreed, well established, i.e. 1) Stermal generation q the son.
2) ópoovoios - same in pubstance clause.
3. Dimysins of Rome replies \& D. I Alex. in fuendly way; takestypieil Roman attitude:-
a. Creed (Roman symbol) reqarded as a fixed quantity and adequate.
b. Tertullian's apparatus of frumulas is considered heypfol.
c. Subjed tsey ducussed as littee as possible, since conchoim is anpposed abready reached. Ite says:

1) Divie Unt (monad) must not be epht; there is close umion of both Sm and Sipint with Fatter - both Inincty and Monarchy.
2) Son was not created bemp, wor did he have a tempral bepminip.
4. Dimypins a Alexandia genckly denies he taupht hireses hes chaped isith-aprees inta Rome.

This indicates that theological terms were atill fhind, soses undee ded, but general uniporonity is bepiriming to appear.
I. Geweral Development q Iwo Tendencries:-

St. Paul
Subandinationiot tendency-diff of Father + Son Dual ams

1. Marcion - exapgerated Pail
2. Quostics - anbendinate acous.

Near Subend: 1. Phile (smbsiares all smbuniurete
2. Musten M.
3. Clement R.

Origen $\rightarrow$ wearer center.
Tontullion (partty one, partly atters)
Dion. y Alex.
Arius

St. gotm
Monarchiaisit tendency - winty of Father and Sou.
Monioms

1. Simin Maquo-clanied divinit.
2. Syman Guostics
3. Mmarclinanism - dew. from
4. Montanism - otressed iomenence

Neen Monsom: 1. Iqnaturs of Antwich
2. Kenacus - "Aoyos is faina."
III. Misunderstanitips showed need in clearar formulas:

1. Need in new creed - t arrid extreme embendinationion a exteme monarchionisom. Niciea
2. Need for und-atudyy oúría
a) Philosopmically had three meammps: (I) Substration-i.e. matter, shape, indiridual thimp (aintotle)
(2) Univeroal character
(ture meaninp) $\rightarrow$ (3) Eseence - T̀ To ìv sivai, beinp what tt was; púois.
b) Later it was use in any 4 these three ways: - (led 5 nisundentandiy)
(1) Sutsstraturn - oame strif. Materialist
(2) Individual thrip. Monarchains
(3) Essence - Platonc + Anit $\phi$. This was correct.
c) Added confroim - óvía and vitóraris wed intarchapebly.
IV. Summany 7 Infferences prowing Between West and East. (Seebey - p. 198, I)
3. Characteristis of the Wist - Pauline: "Saluation of sonts.
a. Sacramental grace.
b. Repal conception of relationstip betwieen god and man
c. Combination $q a+b$ in concentration $q$ relipion $x$ the calustion $q$ sonls.
d. Jubiupation 7 the sone to the control 7 the hienarchical chunch in raluation.
e. But sacraments in hierarchy are Leld in balance by the ments of the individuel.
t. Foumulas q Intullion and authinty of the Cpostte's Creed.
[West otresses: 1) peremation $q$ ipist relation t God and chucch.
2) The way man can come कs God
3) celibacy.
2. Characteristics of the EnAt - gohannine: "up t the heppits!"
a. Emphasis on "orthodoxy", and delipit in metaphysical specalation, e.q. Doyos Chistology.
b. Fiximp $q$ unimentality as the prectical goal.
c. Muptical conception of the unk o Chist as being bern un us, dweellip in us, permeating un with spintinal lije.
d. Theological furmulas y Oupen.
[ East otresses: 1) Pure doctime, i.e abstrect formilas
2) celibacy.
3. Qnipinal Chinstain ideas of (1) Lip niti God in Chist
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { (1) Le sith God in Chist } \\ \text { (2) interamese of heart vith God thm repentance, faith }\end{array}\right\}$ tho in 2nd c. otill atrong.

Part VII. Doctrinal Controversy
I. The Trinitarian Controversy (arian).

Introd: 1. Central question - the essential deity of the Logos. Two views: -

1) Hiph Chintology - the Logos is the eternal Son 4 God, not created but bepotem.
2) Row Chistology - the Logos is geverated by will q Father, hence possibly time when Son was not.
2. Impontance of the question- not metaphpical opeculation, but practical and relipions:
chint must be conceined of as in nature and charactes capable of bestowing the new diome lje upon men.
A. ArIus - pupi q lucien \& Antwoch, who folloved Panl \& Samosata.
3. Doctrie: a. Yod is absolutely transcendent and indirisible, unbegottem. (Monaremian pin pipe).
b. Logos is (1)fist q created bemips, pe-mundane but not eternal. (Different prom doros q Fathen)
(2):: Uubndinate to Fatter, and q different ourora
(3) apent q creation, thmiph a creatiue humsey. Capable y falling, but doesn't.
c. Incarnation - Gesus Chist had human bodr, but Rogos was his sonl. No human sonl. [Preseves inits q God, but intioduces mpthology o heroes and demigods - Seebey I, 204]
4. Recults of this doctrise.
a. A.D. 318 conplit breaks ant in Alexandice. Bishop Alexander dinies Aniss of Palestini + Bythimis.
b. Arius supprited by Essebius of Ncconedia (fellow pupl moder Incian!, and Eusebuis
\& Caesarce who instakenth thmiks Cinis is defendinp subndination us. Sabellianism.
c. Impern Conotanturi, distrized by confict, calls Cminul \& Niciea.
B. The Council of Nicaea, 325 A.d. (Frist Ecumenical Comial)
5. Abont 318 bishops ( 1 q total! pesent-predomiminth Eastem. Constantini dehmien peening address.
6. Three Parties: -
a. The Qrthodox (Onti-Giam) Party. Headed by Alexandes, Hosins (friend y imperm), Chamesius (clecar Held $\$$ deity 4 Clinit, but demed patri-passioniom.
1) Im was bepotten not by mere will, but very necessity y dirme nature, $\therefore$ is eternal.
2) San is identiciel in enbstance nith Fatter - ópsóvoios.
3) Escape hom Sabellionism by euphasizing distunction q persmalitr between Father, inn.
b. The Arian Party. Headed by Crins, Ensebins o Ncoomedia. 15 Follmers
4) Sm is created in time - There was time when Son was not
5) Chinst had true human bodr, but not tme human aml. Incomplete humanit (Gyoll inzanio)
6) The micanate hopas is finite, but shomld be unshuped, fen he's no ndmain creature.
c. Moderate Party (Semi-Cinan?) - Ced by Susebins of Cresarea. Geat majoity.
7) Rerected Cinan views q Son's creetion, difiesent essence.
8) Trvented tim ópociourios - \& dimilar but not rave esence.

## 3. The Creeds

a. Arians !resent creed-ano cony extant, but so iranicly Arian it shocks Eusebius of Caesarea. Overwhelmingly rejected.
b. Eusebius of $C$. proposes his baptismal symbol--affirms both pre-existence and deity of Son of God incarnate in Christ, but silent on points of dispute:

Wife believe in one God, Father almighty, the maker of all things visible and invisible, and in one Lord Jesus Christ, the Logos of God, God from God, Light from Light, Life from Life, Son only begotten, first born of evry creature, belore all the ages from the Father begotten, through whom also all things were made, who for our salvation became flesh and lived among men and suffered and rose again the third day and ascended to the Father, and will come again in glory to judge quick and dead. And we believe also in one Holy Spirit."
c. Orthodox party, instead of proposing new creed, only suggest alterations: "We believe in one God, Father Almighty, maker of all things visible and invisible; and in one Lord Jesus Christ the Son of God, begotten ol' the father, only-be otten, that is from the substance of the Father ( $ह k$ Tiss oúias Too TaTpós ), God from God, Light from Light, true God irom true God, begotten, not mace, of one substance with the Fatier (opooúrov T T ( TaTp ), throush whom all thinss were made, both the things in heaven and the things on earth; who for us men and for our salvation came down and was made flesh, was made man, suffered, and rose again on the third day, ascended into heaven, and cometh to judge quick and dead; and in the Holy Spirit. But those who say 'There was once when he was not,' and 'Before his generation he was not,' and 'He was mpue out of nothing'; or pretend that the son, of God is of another
 alterable or mutable, the Catholic church anathematizes."

Not e changes: "Logos" omitted for "Son".
(2) "first born of every creature..." omitted as Arian sound. (3) "was made man" for "lived among men" strengthens incarnat
(4) "true God", "not made", "from the substence of the

> Father", "of one substance"--stress real deity of Son.
4. Results: Revised formula adopted as Original Nicene Creed.
a. All but 7 accept the revised formula-all but Arius, Eusebius of Nico. etc.
b. Arius deposed by church, banished by Emperor
C.

YOST-INICEINE CONTROVERSY

1. First Period: 325-328. Nicene party dominant a. Hosius of Cordova in power: Arius in exile. Athanasius succeeds Bish. Alexander b. 328 Arius and Eusebius of Jicomedia allowed to return.
2. Second Period: 328-357. Rising influence of Arianism.
a. Arians, led by Eusebius of Nicomedia at court, attack Nicene leaders.
1) C'arge ustacnius ol intioch witn Sabellianism and Adultery (bribed witness)
2) 335 Athanasius exiled
3) Charge Marcellus of Ancyra with Sabellianism, 336. Marcellus' doctrine: a. God is Monad, expands to Diad (Son) and Triad (Spirit), after creation and sancttfication returns to Monad. Folk-belief, not Sabell. b. Marcellus goes to Rome, subscribes to Roman symbol, and is restored. b. 34l, attack shifts from persons to creed; flank attack thru alternative creeds: a. 4 creeds adopted, 2nd is best--the Lucianic Creed: pre-Nicene, not anti b. Contains formula: "unchangeable image of the Father".. perhaps a little touch of non-Arian thought.
c. 上olitical chaises favor Arians: ceath of Constantine (3.37), Constans (350)
4) Constantine's death leaves Empire to Constans in West (Nicene), and Constantius in Fast (Arian). Latter influenced by Eusebius of Nicomedia.
5) Athanasius banished three more times, appeals to Rome.
6) Death of Constans (350) leaves Empire to Arian Constantius.
d. Arian victory.
7) Constantius forces exile of Athanasius, Hosius of Cordova, Hilary of Poitiers, and Liberius, bishop of Rome. Nicene leaders defeated.
8) But Arians split and form, with conservatives, and Nicenes, five paties.

Arians $\quad$ a) Anomoeans--Son milike Father, i.e. extrene Arian. Aetius, Eunomius.
b) Homoians--Son similar to Father. Official position after symod of Sirmium outlaws "ousia". Emperor Constantius, Valens of Myrsa, Ursacius.
Cunservatives $\{$ c) Homoiousians--majority party of conservative Origenists, originally allied with Arians, now shifting to Nicenes. Wrongly called Semi-Arians. Besil of mncyra, Gror e of Laodicea, Cyril of cierus.
(1) Started with Lucianic creed of 341: "\&xact image of Father" but not identical. Move to "similar in essence to Father".
(2) Feared both Subordinationism and Sabéllianism.

Orihodox $\{$ d) Homoousians--Nicene party, solidly set in West. Athanasius, Hilary of Poitiers. Join with new group:
e) Neo-Nicene--Cappadocian Fathers: Basi, of Caesarea, Gregory of Nazianzen, Gregory of Nyssa
3) Third Sirmiurn Council (357) abrocates Wicene Creed with 8nd Sirmian formu
a) Dominated by Valens and Ursacius, politicians who need Imperial favor in their stormy border provinces.
b) Outlaws use of term "ousia" as unscriptural, $\therefore$ Nicene creed invalid.
c) The creed ("The Sermian Blasphemy")--Son is similar to F'ather, Father is greater than Son
3. Third Period: 358-381. Restoration of Nicene Dominance.
a. New party appears at synod of Ancyra, 358. Neo-Nicene. (Begin to drav sharper distinctions than original Nicenes, e.g. between ousia and hypostasis.?)
b. Death of Constantius (361) loses Arians the court. Julian succeeds.
c. Council of Alexandria (362) secures alliance of Homoousians, Homoiousians.

1) Athanasius, concerned for real issue, not formulas, ready to admit two positions practically alike.
2) Council justifies use of both "three hypostases" provided all are of same nature; and "one hypostasis" since many think ousia hypostasis
3) Council affirms homoousia of the Spirit, vs. Macedonius of Constantinople
d. Athanasius dies (373); Three Cappadocians take over othodox leadership, distinguish between ousia and hypostasis, to avoid Sabellianism.
4) oưós - essential being
5) Uাঁórtaбוs - individual being
6) Fod is one oưoía of three úloortar (I being, 3 persons). This allows use of ópsoj́ra without Sabellian danger.
e. Nicene Victory at Sec nd Ecumenical Council, Constantinople (381)
D. COUHCIL Or CONSTANYIINOPLE, 381. (Second Ecumenical)
1. Called by Theodosius the Great, dominated by the Cappadocians.
2. Vindicates IJicene Creed, repudiating both Arians and Macedonians (56 of these made great bid to dominate council).
3. Results: 1) Only one essence in Godhead, i.e. one ovora
2) Three hypostases in Godhead. These more than Sabellian modes of manifestation, rather are modes of subsistence.
3) Basic division of reality: (a) Creator God, (b) Created creatures.
4. Seeberg (I.232) criticizes Neo-Nicenes that under guise of reaffirming Nicene creed the Cappadocians lost the essential declaration that the Son is fully God, and God is wholly personal. For Athanasius' one God leading 3-fold personal life, they substitute three divine hypostases possessing one natere and one dignity si nce they manifest the same activity. They lost the idea of the personal God. Three personalities and an abstract, impersonal essence are the result.
5. The council did not frame the IViceno-Constantinopolitan creed (see over)
E. NICEINOECONSTANTIMOHOLITAN CLEED
6. Orisin-- ot at viouncil of Constantinople
i. .ot nentioned as this council's creed until council of Chalcedon, 45l; cited as early as A.D. 374 by Epinhunius
b. Its Dasis is baptismal confession of church at Jerusalem, probably pepared by Cyril of Jerusalem.
c. At first used sil e by side with Nicene creed, but by 500 had displaced it.
7. Content
a. Adus "of" whose Ningam there is no end" to Son clause, cutting out _-arcellus of mncyra's concept of Son's reabsorption into Monad.
b. Includes worship of Holy Spirit--repudiating Macedonians' denial of worsiip oi the spirit.
c. Aí irns dicene urer.

## F. ATHANASIUS (ca. 300-373)

Iatrod. 1) At 20 mature theolo ien, at 30 Bisho? oi aloxandria.
2) Exiled five times.
3) Reason for his leadership strength:
a) Stability and genuineness of character--firm for truth, no politics.
b) Strong foundation in unity oi God--nreserved him from subordinationn
c) Saw importance of person of Christ as Redeemer. Had thought out consistent doctrine of redemption, and saw why each Arian maneuver must be rejected.
4) Works: Contra Gentes
 1. Theology
a. Sources:

1) Bible, Origen, Plato (his favorite philosopher).
2) Influenced by works of Melecto, Asian theologian, which softened his Origenism by a Monarchian tendency: he belongs to the Origenist group which emphasizes monism, not subordinationism.
b. Doctrine of God.
3) Athanasius starts with the unity and transcendence of God. No Arian big and little Gods for him!
4) The deity of the Godhead is eternal and unchangeable. God does not becme Father in time. Logos is co-eternal with God.
5) God becomes immanent and active in world thru Logos, by whom his will comes to expression. Logos is perpetually creative, maintaining order of universe.
c. Dactrine of Creation and Man
l) World is intrinsically good. Evil is the aberration of the wills of created bei ngs, not characteristic of naturefitself.
6) Man is uniquely created in image of God.
a) Image of God: man can know God and live blessedly.
b) Kan not divine, yet has portion of power of Logos and can participate in God. (cf. Plato--forms make things be what they are)
c) Wan is capable of receiving immortality.
7) But man turned away from God and thereby subjected himself to corruption:
a) Ontological decline--man loses headway in existence, disharmony and non-being tendency sets in.
b) Epistemological decline--man loses knowledge of God, which is inseparable from true life.
c) Result: Death.

## d. Doctrine of Redernotion

1) Redemption can be wrought by God alone. Athanasius insists that Logos is God, since only God can dissolve a divine decree and formive sin.
2) Logos becomes man to redeem man--does five things:
a) Gives man inmortality--pervades body and soul with divine powe, lifts him to level of immortality.
b) Restores the image of God in man--Logos is the image of God.
c) As image of God, reveals God to man in an apprehendable form.
d) Ufiered his boder as a sacrilice for han--breais powr of lew over man with its death penalty. Resurrection proves his power arer death.
e) Offered sacrifice to God for man--makes reconciliation possible.
3) The result: deilicavion ( an inorvality, regurued as
continuous process. Harmony displaces discord.
4) This new life apprehended by baptism, affirmed in the eucharist.
2. Atianasius' Attack on rriarism
a. Irinury uifloreace: Arion is subordinationist

Athanasius tends to monism, emphasizes unity ut cistincti้)
t. irianisa is lo ically incoherent:
l) arius satis toc is separate from creation, . . needs intermediate logos. Sut then he anios the Lowos if creature, anc Gou siill liss not escaped conwact ith crested beins.
2) Arius says rod is one, yet sa;s that Lo jos can be called relatively God. Lhus he introauces different grades of God.
c. Hrianism is reli iously intolerable:
l) Cuts at roots of Redemption. Only God can save, but Arius teaches salvatiun by a creature.
i) Leads to idolatry. To worship a created being (Logos) is idolatry

Basil of Caesarea, organizer and administrator Gregory of inazianzen, reacher Gregory of Nyssa, theologian (orother of Sasil)
I. Position disputed. Harnack and (Iess) Seebelf as ert; trit uncier uise of reaffirming the $N$ ic ene position they reinterpreted it gos lost its essential declaration that the Son is fully God.
2. Starting point: three-fold personal life in Gochead. (athenas. stirts with unity)
3. Gregory of Nyssa's defense vs. charge tuat he preaches trurer Gods.
a. Men can be spoken of in plural--one gevus with nury riembers.
b. But God hasno gen us or species-number doesn't apply at all. ihe one and the three don't change--all work the same works.
c. Technically, though, to speak of men in plural is wrong. Different peole are really only manifestations or instances of one manhood.
4. Gregory of Nyssa maintains the homoousia of the Spirit, vs. Macedonius.
a. There can be no degrees of deity. If H.S. is divine, he is full: God.
b. One characteristic of deity found in all 3--"onlooking quality", i.e. God sees all.
c. Deity is a functional or operational, not an ontological term. We can't know the "ousia" of God.

Introduction:

1. Now that INicene creed draws sharp distinction between God and man, how did the Son of God become man. When Irenaeus implied that full manhood was nedr deity, there was little problem, but now if there is on "inf"inite qualitativell (Kierkegaard), how can one person have characteristics of both manhood and Godhead $=$ The moblem.
2. Theologically two chief centers of interest: Alexandria and Antioch.
a. Alexandria-metaphysical and mystical tendency:
1) Emphasis on deity of Jesus; almost docetic, e.g. Athanasius, "Humanity a garment he put on.
2) Tendency to blend the two natures; emphasis on unitary, single personality b. Antioch--Biblical scholarship vs. Alexandrian allegorizing.
3) Emphasis on humanity of Jesus.
4) Terdency to separate human and divine into dual personality, destroy unitary personality.
3. Ecclesiastical rivalry complicates the picture:
a. Rising power of Constantinoplo challenges Alexandria and Rome.
b. Rome's attitude: 1) Fearful of Constantinople, favors Antioch, Alexandria
2) But vacillates theologically, tending to Antiochans.
4. Dogmatic note: true Christology must contain--
a. True deity
b. True, complete humanity
c. Single (unitary) personality.
d. Distinction, but not separation, of the two natures.
A. Apollinarian Heresy - condemned at 2nd Ec. Council, Constantinople, 381
5. The heresy stated: Christ was incompletely human; had divine spirit.
a. Starts from premise: 2 complete natures can't become one.
b. $\therefore$ human nature incomplete, blended with divine.
1) Human body and soul
2) But Logos replaces rational spirit
c. Reason for this--if Christ had human spirit, he couldn't be sinless.
2. The heresy attacked:
a. Athanasius, his friend, insists that God assumes whole man.
b. The Cappadocians say Apollinaris misunderstands the issue, for two natures does not necessarily involve two persons.
1) When we say divinity is other than his humanity, we do not say that a divine person is become another person. Bather like flame and candle, indistinguishible; or like drop of wine in ocean which is still all there, but swallowed up.
2) The essential nature of man is not evil, so a human rational spirit would not necessarily make Christ sinful.
3. The heresy cond emned--Alexandria, 362; Constantinople 381; but it comes to be associated as Athanasian, two of its formulae are widely spread: a. "One nature of the divine word was made flesh"--later monophysite idea. b. "Mary Theotokos (Mother of God)"--came to be used authoritatively, produced the Nestorian controversy.
B. Nestorian Heresy - condemned at 3rd Ed. Council, Ephesus, 431.
4. The heresy stated: two natures of christ rewain separate; dual personality. a. Objects to "Faary wheotokos" ir liturgy:
1) Mary is mother only of Christ's humen nature, not of Logos.
2) Logos assumes Christ's human nature. Logos has no mother.
b. Affirms 2 Thoow $\pi$ in Christ (divine \& human), but not 2 Sons or Christs.
3) Nestorius means not 2 complete beings, but 2 manifestations united in one being, a divine-human person (see his Bazaar of Heraclides)
2. The heresy attacked:
a. Real point of controversy: was it the man Jesus controlled by Logos, or was it God himself, who was born, lived and died among us?
b. Cyril of Alexandria, to deflect criticism of himself, falsely charges Nestorius $\mathbb{4} i t h$ denying deity of Christ. WWithout Cyril there would have been no Nestorian controversy" (Loofs)
1) Nestorius makes Jesus only a God-carrying man.
2) Cyril's big contribution--historic unity of person of Jesus Christ. c. Bp Coelestine of Rome backs Cyril at synod (430) denounces Nestorious but only in general terms. Nestorius produces counter-anathemas.
3. The heresy conidermed midst confusion of two counter-councils, Ephesus, 431.
a. Both Nestorius and Cyril deposed by Emperor.
b. Peace restored when Antiochans abandon Nestorius and Cyril accepts a union-symbol prepared by Theodoret of Cyros. (Gued \& Antioch
1) Includes following Antiochan contents:
a) Rejection of Apollinarianism
b) Recognition of the two natures.

2) Includes following Alexandrian contents:
a) Recogmition of the one person (relation to 2 natures unexplained)
b) Union of the two natures.
c) Acceptance of phrase" Orotokos
4. Real weaknesses in Nestorius:
a. If Logos assumed Christ's human nature, he took to himself another person and became two persons--or either he or Christ is impersonal.
b. Poor choice of term in $\pi p o \sigma w \pi x$, by which he did not mean a complete person, but which had been used in Nicene as counterpart to Hypostasis; and which really means a mask in theatre, or outward manifestation. Nobody den ies Jesus was outwardly one person--no significance to the affirmation.
5. Theology of Cyril of Alexandria:
a. Emphasis on the hypostatic unity of the person of Christ.
l) Two complete natures unite, both remain unchanged and complete.
2) Unity is achieved and maintained by means of the unity of the person, the Logos, uniting human with his own divine nature.
b. Interchange of properties--attributes of both natures in one person.
3) Therefore God becomes capable of suffering /Communicatio idiomatus
4) Therefore body of Christ is divine, returns to heaven.
5) But both natures retain their mon cheractesistis ummingled.
C. Eutychian Leresy - condemned at 4 th Ec. Council, Chalcedon, 451. IKonophysitism.
1. The heresy stated: two pre-incarnation natures fused into tertium quid. a. Before incarnation, there existed the two separate natures.
b. After incarnation: 1) Only one nature--the divine Logos, Jesus Christo
2) In Jesus Christ divine nature opooujos with Father
3) " is human flesh, but not opooúrios with us. No human ouvíd at all.
c. This is not even Cyrillic monophysitism: (but is extreme Ale xandr. Xology)
a) Cyril taught general, universal humanity in jesus, not individual personal humanity, thereby avoiding 2 centers of consciousnessLogos and man.
b) But Eutyches denies even general human nature--no genuine human nature at all.
2. The heresy attacked and defended:
a. The line-up--1) Dioscurus, Cyril's successor at Alexandria, supports Eutyches, Constantinopolitan monk, to weaken Constant.
2) Flavian, bp of Const., supported by Antioch, tries to depose the monk.
3) Leo the Great, bp. of Rome, appealed to by both sides, supports Antiochan Christology vs. Eutyches, but states it in Western, not Eastern formulae.
b. Leo's doctrinal letter:
a) Christ is 2 natures in 1 person (after Tertullian, Ambrose, Augustine)
b) Before incarnation, only 1 divine nature (Eut. posited 2)
c) After incarnation, 2 natures in inseparable union, yet not confused

c. Robber synod of Ephesus, 449, gives Dioscurus and Eutyches victory.
3. The heresy condemned, Chalcedo $n, 451$.
a. Leo reluses to acknowledge Robber Synod; Theodosius dies; Pulcheria calls another council.
b. Dioscurus abandoned, though he stood in line of Athanasius, Cyril.
1) "One incarnated nature of the Logos"
2) Agrees that Christ is "of ( $\dot{k}$ ) 2 natures", but refuses to admit he had 2 natures after incarnation, i.e. "in (iv) 2 natures".
4. The Creed of Chalcedon--permanent Christological formula.
a. Its nature: Victory for Western Christology--rejected monophysitism of Ale xandria (Eutyches, Dioscurus), and even by-passed Cyril's union $\mathcal{C}$ 2 natures so complete that properties of 1 can be ascribed to the union in which both natures are found.
b. Its formula:
1) Christ was perfect in his deity--consubstantial with the Father.
2) Christ is consubstantial with us in his humanity.
3) He is born of Vir gin Mary, Qwotókos, according to his human nature.
4) The 2 natures exist without confusion, conversion, severance or division.
c. iut Monophysite controversy continued for 230 years (451-681)
5. Ecclesiastical decrees of the Council of Chalcedon: (blow to Rome)
a. Power of bishop of Constrntinople increased as New Rome, second to Roman bishop in view of importance of city (nothing said of Peter)
b. Foundation thus laid for schism between East and West.
o. The results:
a. East tends more an more to Alexandrian emphasis on one divine nature-monophysitic.
b. West remains partly Antiochan in its stress (Chalcedon creed) on two natures.
6. Emp. Basiliscus rejects Chalcedon creed, 476. (500 bishops agree)
7. Emp. Zeno seeks compromise with his Henoticon, 482.
a. Recognizes creeds of Nicaea, Constantinople, Ephesus, and Cyril's Anatherna's.
b. Does not rescind Chalcedon, but by-passes it by stressing authority of alternative formulas
c. Its very breadth reflects growing diversity of East and West:
1) East--monophysite tendency (Alexandrian emphasis on divine nature)
2) West--diophysite tendency (Antiochan insistence on two natures)
3. Fmp. Justinian (succeeds in 527) seeks harmony in church and country.
a. Basic policy: unification of church and states-theocratic, political control of church affairs. But Christian state--closes Plato Academy.
b. Problem: to pacify church by maintaining Chalcedon creed for West, yet interpreting it to satisfy Cyrillian monophysites in East.
c. Attempts and failures:--all to win back Monophysites.
1) Leontius of Byzantium tries by restating Cyril and Chalcedon.
a) Restates Chalcedon in Aristotelian categories.
b) Modifies Cyril's phraseology, but recaptures his position.
(1) Cyril - 2 natures, but human is non-hypostatic, non-substantial. Lord's hypostasis was the divine Logos.
(2) Leontius - Nature can't be non-hypostatic (accd. Aristot. categor. Nature is intra-hypostatic, i.e. one nature may be the hypostasis of another. Lord's human nature has hypostasis in Logos
c) This leaves both Chalcedon and Cyril justified:
(1) Chalcedon retains 2 independent natures, yet one hypostasis
(2) Cyril retains the hypostasis of Christ as hypostasis of Logos.
2) But Monophysites refuse this formula; divide into rivalry themselves.
a) Severians - 2 natures; human nature capable of suffering, corruptible, not.omniscient. But union is umixed. (Close to Cyril)
b) Julianists (J. of Halicarnassus) - thoroughgoing monophysites, denying human nature of Lord capable of suffering. Charge Severians with diophysitism, and are charged with docetism.
3) Fifth Ec. Council, Constantinople (2nd), 553 to satisfy moderate Monoph.
a) Condemns the "Three Chapters", Antiochan theologians: Theodore of illopsuestia, Theodoret of Cyrus's criticism of Cyril, and Ibas of Edessa.
b) Nakes Chalcedon uninterpretable save in Cyrillic sense.
c) Pope Vigilius objects to rough treatment of diophysites, but is subdued under imperial pressure.
d) But still fails to win Monophysites.
4) Nionothelitism urged as compromise for winning ionophysites:
a) Sergius, patr. of Const., --Christ acts by "one theandric energy".
5) Instead of 2 natures, emphasize one active power or energy, both divine and human.
6) Phrase already used by Dionysius the Areopagite, the Christian Neo-Platonist, whose monistic concept pictures transcendent God reaching earth through hierarchical ladder of energim, stresses continuity of spirit, flesh; thinkable and experiencable
b) Sophronius of Jerusalem rejects "energy", substitutes monothelitism.
7) Monothelitism (one will in Christ) accepted by Sergius, Pope Honorius, and Emp. Hevaclius in his Ekthesis, 638
8) Opposed by ifaximus Confessor, Pope John IV in 641, as denying completeness of two natures, ignoring identity of will and nature in a nerson.
9) Monophysit provinces lost to Mohammedans, makes lionothelitism politically easier to condemn.
4. Monothelitism condemned, 6th Ec. Council, Constantinople (3rd), 681
a. Pope Agatho carries day for Romen position and Chalcedon Creed.
b. Duothelitism essentially merely a logical implication from Chalcedon's diophysitism
c. The great problem: how to join infinity with finitude without oblit. latteb.

## I. AUGUSTINE OF HIPPO ( $354-430 \mathrm{AD}$ )

A. His Spiritual Pilgrimage

1. Home: pagan and Christian. Influence of Christian mother dominant.
2. Cicero's Hortensius drives him to philosophy, search for truth. 2 Problems: a. Self-consistent concept of God $\}$ Bafiled by Stoic materialism--if
b. Origin of evil
3. At 19 joins Manichees, impressed by their emphasis on reason.
a. Dualism of light and darkness. But M. asceticism keeps Aug. a neophyte.
b. After 9 yrs. rebels vs. astrolofical absurdities, lack of Christ.
4. Stuaies aristotle and the Academic skeptics (Flatonists)--extreme logic
iakes certainty irmossible, substitutes probability and fashionable doubt.
5. Saved from skepticism by Neo-Platonism (Plotinus):
a. Neo-Platonic concopt of realm of spiritual being solves his 2 problems:
1) Spir itual concept of God
2) Privative concept of evil, i.e. evil is absence of good.
b. Neo-Platonic monism (world of gradations, not chasms) saves him from
dualism.
c. Porphyry's theories: the key is his doctrine of the soul.
3) Not physical--central characteristic is imagination, makes free of domination of space and time.
2)This makes self-consciausness possible, or, even higher, absorption of soul in object of contemplation.
4) Scale of being: a) The One, b) Mind, c) Soul, d) Body, e) Non-being d. Augustine finds one flaw in Neo-Platonism it requires moral life but
gives no power for morality.
6. Conversion to Christianity: baptized in 387, at age of 33.
a. Influence of Ambrose:
1) Impresses Aug. by his strength of character vs. Emperor. Augustine weakly runs away from problems; Ambrose overcomes them.
2) Teaches Aug. to see depth of Scripture and beauty by allegory.
b. Influence of Egy tian monks: makes him ashamed of his moral weakness.
7. Critical Problem: was Augustine Christian or Neo-Platonist on conversion?
a. Neo-Platonic argument:
1) Confessions written from Catholic view, 13 yrs. later.
2) Early dialogues reveal still a NeoePlatonist--praise of philosophy, no repentance, emphasis on classics, not Scripture.
b. Christian answer: (above theory pretty well disproved now)
l) Confessions are colored by wolo etic purnose, but historically valici
3) Even in early dialogues Christianity breaks through classic pattern: tears, praise of Monica, hints at conversion.
B. Augustine's Works
l. Early Dialogues (13) - Christianized Neo-Platonism. Augustine fastens on similarities of both, ignores differences. (386-91)
¿. rolemical ..oris:
a. Against the Manichaeans (389) - stresses freedom and responsibility of the porsonal will, against llanichee mechanism.
b. Against the Arians, On the Trinity ( ) - forges single-handed the tra Ftion ar unents without help Iron Eastern theologians who had developed the doctrine.
c. Against the Donatists - developes R.C. doctrine of the church, and becomes "father of the inquisition".
d. Against the Pelagians - Augustine turns determinist (cf. his position vs. the Manichees), and evangelical (cf. Donatist controvsy) insists on predestination, and salvation from God, not priest.
3. De Civitate Dei - his torical defense of Christianity. His greatest work.
4. Epistemology
a. Possibility of knowledge: Academics inconsistent in denying it, and substituting probability for certainty in knowledge, for to have even probability there must be a standard which is known accurately.
b. Objects of knowledge:
1) Pure forms-numbers and their relations,
2) One's own soul. If I doubt, I judge myself to be doubtful, and it is plain I am here, even if I doubt my own existence. $\therefore$ my existence is sure.
3) God
a) There are three conditions of knwledge: (l) organ of knowledge, (2) object to be known, and (3) a medium thru which the two make contact, as visible things are made known thru light. But as in using light we cannot avoid its source, the sun; even so in knowledge, the source of intellectual light (the medium) cannot be avoided. I know that which is imperfect...•I know that by which I make that judgment, i.e. a standard of knowledge ultimately grounded in being-as-it-is, i.e. God. .. I know God
b) I know God as the supreme ground of Good and existence. There are gradations of good and existence. Whatéver good anything has it has by participation in the principle of Good. Whatever exists exists by grounding in something more ultimate. This implies a being itself the supreme or necessary being groundwd in nothing outside itself. That is the Good, or God-mand in it the source of worth and the source of knowge is the same. For the soul is better than body; yet soul knows there's something superior to it, intelligible and superior. It must be the ground of intelligioility, i.e. God. (rhis is argument irom grades of existence.)
c. Knowledge is the gift of God's grace. By the gift of light my mind can know, what at first we. only recognize by inference. (This doctrine developed into Illuminism of Middle Ages
d. Relation of kowledge to faith: Knowledge is grounded in faith.
4) Faith is the condition of knowledge. Regson can demonstrate God to the mind, but Faith must first purify the soul, Hope must gruard it from despair of success, and Love must instill in it the desire to see God (Sol. VI.12) Faith is the eye; Hope opens the eye, Love sees.
5) Faith is the active seeking of knowledge.
6) Committment in faith (i.e. acceptance of certain ideas) is necessary to membership in. Church; and membership in Church, says Aug. in a curious passage, is necessary to knowledge.
7) But even when Reason is purified by faith, it needs divine help, Grace.
e. Knowledge leads to love, and love is the ground of knowledge.
8) When we finally see, faith and hope are forgotten, but love remains.
9) Love is the soul's basic disposition; its direction is determined by what it loves most. Only if the soul loves God can it know truth, for God is the ground of truth. Love of God is free act of will, determined only by inner disposition of the soul, not environment.
2. Doctrine of God.
a. Definition: 1) God is the sumeme and indispensable ground of being and knowing and loving, by virtue of which all existences and all goods exist. (Like Plotinus, save that Pl. God is beyond Being, not Being itself). God is the alone source of good.
2) God is the almighty Will, which controls and ordains all.
b. The Trinity:
3) Equality - the Son is not inferior, but is the power of God manifest in space and time. He is sent by the Father, but not as servant, rather to manifest in time what wasn't in time.
4) Communication idiomatum - Three in One, which is fully present in all three. What is said of each, can be said of others, ar all 3. Only difference: 1 is the Father, 1 the Son, 1 the Spirit.
5) This cannot be demonstrated by reason, yet is not contrary to reason, so can be illustrated by good analogies:
a) Remembering: Memory, Image, Attention all make 1 Memory.
b) Seeing: Eye, Light and Object--all one.
c) Mind: liemory, Understanding, Vill--all one mind, and each is mind as a whole. Kind wouldn't be mind without any of them.
d)Self-consciousness: I know myself, and I is subject, object and knowing. Each same as others re contēnt; each stands to each of others in fixed relation
(This is virtually Sabellian, say Loofs, Seeberg, Harnack.
Aug. denies it; but is at least in Monarchian category)
6) Only in mystical experience does dogma of Trinity come to expressim
a) Aug. grounds mysticism in love, Plotinus in reason.
3. Doctrine of the World
a. God creates discontinuous world of non-being. It exists contingenly
upon God. (fere Aug. leaves lotinus, who had emanating, not created world)
1) Creation from absolutely nothing but will of God.
2) "lion-being", not "nothing". Becoming is change--what was not, comes to be, and what was comes not to be $\therefore$ world is characterized by non-being, since it is always becoming. Non-being is not a kind of stuff, but a characteristic of process.
b. Yet the world is good.
i) It is maintained by God's power, tho it is subject to corruption and decline back to non-being.
3) It contains no positive evil, but neither is it necessarily permarantly jood. Can lapse.
4) Universe is ordered in levels of existence. Higher good equals higher ouisinnce. Lian occupies strategic center in created world.
c. Time.
5) Time is not process as such, but a form of process; not motion, hat a characteristic of motion. A segnent, not just a moving point.
i) Time can be known only subjectively, in the mind. Memory is the past; imagination is the future, apprehension is the present
i) God apprehends the total time span. He sees things together, not just in transit. Mozunt's flash -grap q whale majn umk.
4. Doctrine of Evil.
a. Evil is rooted in the will. Angels and men have freedom to affirm or deny God.
1) Ansels and men fell, asserted self, instead of God.
2) To fall below, or aspire above one's onw grade of existence is evil. To be like pig is evil; to try to usurp divinity is evil.
b. Evil is the tendency of all created things to lapse back into non-beirg .
3) This is due to absence or divine power which alone sustains in existence.
4) Why does God allow this lapse--answered by Stoic conception of beauty of universe consists in hermony of opposites. Jotn evil, yood necessary.
5) God can prevent sin, but does not always choose to do so in interests of larger good.
6) Yet God is never the author of evil--for evil is privative in characer.
c. Results of $\sin$ :
7) Ignorance - for God is truth
8) Insatiable cravings and lust - for only God can satisfy
9) Loss of beine, falling in scale of existence. Inis caul be lost by the creature, but only the Creator can give access of being.
10) Loss of the power not to sin, but retention of the fower to sin . Man is still able to do what he will, but what he wills is wrong.
d. Transmission of original sin.
11) We were all in Adam, so we all inherit what came to him, i.e. (
a) Judgment
b) Penalty - death of body, torment of soul.
12) Adam chose evil, resulted in evil nature. We inherit evil nature, so choose evil. Reversal of process.
13) For this man is himself responsible. He willed it; deserves nothing else.
5. Redemption.
a. By God alone, through incarnation of the Word, not thru man's merit.
b. Redenption only of the predestinate:
1) Salvation granted only to definite number of men required to recruit ranks of angels. Single predestination.
2) Not unjust - God is le aving bulk of men to follow their own choices, gives an unmerited gift to the elect. Not inflicting injustice on any, but giving free life to some.
3) Avg ustine later grows more rigorous. Teaches double predestination.
$\Rightarrow$ Augustine's religious predestination is set in context of metaphysical determinism: Will of God is source of every event that tr anspires.
c. By grace.
4) Grace is the free, saving and creative power of God. It's a gift, unmerite
5) Grace is irresistible--just as man can't move towards God, so he can't prevent God's remaking him.
d. Results of redemption:
6) Turns man's love from the finite to God.
7) Good works naturally follow this re-orientation. Ama et fac quid vellis.
C. The Pelagian $\frac{\text { Controversy }}{\text { I. Pelagius }}$ and Pelagianism:
a. Pelagius, British monk, ethically vigorous, healthy-minded once-born Christian
b. Doctrinal emphases: his interest in morals prompts him to safeguard huan
ability and responsibility, and God's justice.
8) Denies original sin:
a) Adam's fall affects us only as a bad example
b) Man's will remains free; its freedom is never lost nor diminished.
9) Denies human inability: man can live without sin, but few have done so.
a) God would not command the impossible; it wo:ld be unjust.
b) "Again it is to be inquired whether a man ought to be sinless. Without doubt he ought. If he ought he can; if he cannot he oumht not. And if a man ought not to be sinless then he ought to be sinful, and that will not be sin which it is admitted he ought to do". -Coelestivs
10) Denies irresistible grace and election:
a) Grace is only: (1) the gilt of free will, freedom to cloose God.
(2) the gift of Jesus Christ as a shining example. (3) the laws of ethics, and nature
c. Pelagian exegesis of Pauline passages to contrary (Pelagius, Commentaries.
11) Romans 7:15f. - This conflict of desires does not paint sin as inescapable, but as a bad habit. "If I'm accustomed to swearing, I do it gven if I no longer wish to.
12) Romans 7:22f. - The conflict between the "law of my mind", and the "law of my members" indicates that the will is still uncorrupted, thouch surrounded by the corrupted body with its vicious habits.
d. Pelagius's thre supporters: Coelestius (lawyer), John, Bp. of Jerusalem, and Julian, bp. of Eclanum (principal theologian of the movernent).
2. Felayus attacked:
a. Iy gujustine:
i) Pelagius makes grace unnecessary, reduces it to a catalytic agent.
2) felagius regards acts as relatively external to the willing agent, whereas Augustine says man acts as unified whole and the will is vitally related to the act. Sinful acts involve a sinful will. (Warfld)
b. By Jerome:
3) Pelagias is Pythagorean and Stoic (not far wrong, says Calhoun, tho P. rejects reincarnation of soul, and human soul as part of universal soul)
4) Pelagius says that will can break habit, but fails to point out what can make the will want to break a habit.
3. The Semi-Pelagians (Massilianism).
a. Originated with theologians of Southern Gaul: John Cassian, Vincent of Lerins, Hilary of Arles, Faustus of Riez and Gennadius of Marseilles.
b. Agree with Augustine on:
1) Original sin inherited from Adam
2) Without prevenient grace man can't do good. Grace is not to make easier, but to make possible.
c. But disagree with Augustine: : (warn against him as innovator)
3) Man has some part in his salvation; it's not entirely of God.
4) Grace is not irresistible; it's prevenient, but man can resist it.
5) No unconditional predestination.
4. Augustine attacks Semi-Pelagianism:
a. Double predestination: Whatever happens is God's doings--both salvation and damnation. He serves his own glory even thru sinful acts of sinners.
b. Perseverance of the saints: can't lapse from salvation, though particular sins are still committed through concupiscence which remains even after salvation.
D. Second Council of Orange (529) settlos the Pelayian controversy. Seni-Augustinian. 1. Augustine died in 430; church swings first toward Pelagianism (Councils of Arles and Lyons, 473), then back to Augustinianism under Caesarius of Arles. 2. General position of the council is Semi-Augustinian:
a. sujustinian doctrines:--
1) Adam's sin is transmitted to his descendants. Original sin.
2) Grace - prevenient, unmerited grace precedes faith and salvation
b. Non-Augustinian elements:--
3) Silent on irresistibility of grace, and unconditional predestination.
4) Man's inability, inherited from Adam, is only weakness of will, not total incapacity. Grace strengthens what is already there, does not supply what is altogether lacking.
D. The Donatist Controversy, and Ausustine's Joctrine of the Crurch.
1. The line-up and backgroura: direrences as to that was the holiasab of curch.
a. Rome (Callistus, 210) - holiness is custodianship of the sacraments.
b. Africa (Cyprian, 250) - holiness is proper episcopal leadership.
c. Sectaries (Novatian, Donatus) - holiness is ethical purity.
1) Novatian - the church's membership must be pure.
2) Donatists - the dhurch's clergy must be pure.
2. Historical background:
a. Hippolytus vs. Callistus in 210 A.D.
1) Callistus accused by Hippolytus for admitting adulteresrs, murderers.
2) Callistus replies: a) Church is ark for clean and unclean; church is not heaven, but heaven-bound vehicle.
b) Church's holiness is sacramental, not ethical.
b. Felicissismus vs. Cyprian $\}^{i n}$ Decian persecution, 250 A.D.
3) Confessors (sufferers) demand restoration of lapsi.
4) Cyprian, rigorist, demands lapsi submit to approval of bishops, not merely lay approval, thus preserving church's holiness by proper episcopal supervision
5) INovatian even more extreme, refuses readmission of lapsi altogether, demands ethical purity of entire church membership.
3. Rise of the Donatists
a. Caecilian, lax in treatment of lapsi in Diocletian persecution, 303, elected bishop.
b. Rigorists claim his ordination invalid, since by traditores (those who
had given books to be burned); form schismatic church. Donatus, bishop. 4. Augustine vs. Donatists on doctrinal issues: (the Catholic Augustine)
a. The sacratients in relation to wortiness or tine clercy:
1) Donatists - efficacy of sacrament depends on ethical character of the officiating priest. $\therefore$ they reject Catholic sacraments
2) Augustine - the efficacy of the sacrament depends only on God, not on human merit.
b. Church and State:
3) Donatists - complete separation of church and state.
4) Augustine - state's duty to support church. $\therefore$ encourages civil suppression of rioting Donatists.
c. Augustine's doctrine of the Church:
5) Unity
6) Catholicity - Donatists are provincial, Catholics ecumenical.
7) Apostolicity - Donatists not of Apostolic origin, for not in communion with Apostolic Rome, tho they do have apostolic doctrine and Scripture.
8) Holiness - not every member sanctified, but instituted by God to sanctit'y its members.

## E. De Civitate Pei (412-426)

Introduction:

1) Occasion for writing: invasion of Rome shakes Christian concept of the foundation of the state.
a) Pagans said state's stability depended on (1) character of rule (2) fortune
b) Curistian Emperors saw Will of God as foundation of state.
c) Barbarian breakthrough in 410 brings clamor for return to pagan concept. Augustine writes De Civ. Dei to counteract this.
2) source: Aug. borrows the concept of the two cities from Tyconius, a Donatist.
a) Tyconius - City of Devil is civilized culture; no intermingling of the two cities.
b) Augustine - not anti-cultural; asserts the two cities now hopelessly intermingled.
1. Loss or old gods has not brought Rome's fall: for old gods never gave Rome strength, virtue, nor assurance of happiness
2. Grew theory of history: the two cities (Cain, Abel; Rome, Church; world, God)
1) Definition of civitas:
a) A commonwealth constituted by common interests of people in it.
b) Assemblage of people who love the same thing together - its character depends on the object of their lowe (either good or bad)
2) The two cities contrasted:
a) Civ. Dei loves God; civitas terrena loves finite goods.
b) Civ. Deil seeks pace in subjection to God maintained by cooperation nd love; civ. terrena seeks peace in respect to earthly goods maintained by force.
3) Yet even the civitas terrena (the State) is a relative good.
a) Peace and civil order are maintained by it.
b) Temporal goods are evil only if considered ultimate; good if considered under the domination of God. State which seeks power as the ultimate end falls like Assyria and Babylon.
4) The two cities form a perpetual contrast in history:
(ant itu

(4) Fallen ampels
(5) Kepabiate within the 1. is
5) World history in great ages:
a) rive already past (Adam, Noah, Abraham, etc.)
b) fth Age now present--ifillennial age of conflict, with Civ. Dei (Church) rising supreme as Civ. Terrena (Rome) declines.
(1) Note emphasis on supremacy of church over state--this is the foundation of later papal claims.
(2) At end of present age, resurrection and judgment:
(a) Elect - take places beside angels, perfect peace.
(b) Damned - souls die, but bodies suffer eternal torment.
1. General character:
a. Great administrator and statesman, not theologian. Assciated order in society with church, in minds of people.
b. General theological position:
1) Considered himself Augustinian, but was really Semi-Augustinian, not grasping auc;ustine's pilosonnical ani mor:l subtleties.
2) Basic theology--the iive ecumenical Councils (Nicaea, Constantinople, Ephesus, Chalcedon, 2nd Constantinople), which are as authoritative as the four gospels.
2. Theology.
a. God - triune. Ultimate being, and source of all good. Nicaean phrases.
b. Jesus Christ.
1) Emphasis on deity. Iis nind and soirit hunan onl: by concession, more apparently human than real. A divine being veiled, but not fully concealed by humanity.
2) Could suffer only because he chose to. Then what of temptation?
3) Temptation involves three steps: (a) stimulus, (b) pleasure, and (c) consent. Jesus received the stimulus, but went no farther.
c. Redemption. (This means:--)
4) Release from the power of the devil.
a) Follows Origen and Gregory of Nyssa--divine strategy fools devil.
b) Christ's humanity used as bait; deity was hook which overcame devil.
5) Release from wrath of God. Christ's sacrifice satisfies divine demand for punishment of man's sin.
6) Release from sin.
a) By the illumination of Christ's teaching and example.
b) By Christ's mystical indwelling in the believer.
d. Human Nature and Grace (Semi-Augustinian)
7) Man's inability is weakness, not total incapacity.
8) Grace is two-fold:
a) Prevenient power of God initiating faith and salvation.
b) Subsequent cooperation by man, indispensable (Augustine would deny that this is part of grace, but rather the expression of it)
3. Ecclesiology (Doctrine of Church and Means of Grace)
a. The four marks of the Church:
I) Unity - in faith and love.
2) Holiness - in principle and tendency, even when membership is reprobate.
a) Makes saints of sinners
b) Favorable atmosphere to development of holiness
c) Ultimate complete holiness after last judgment.
3) Authority (Catholicity) -
a) Authoritative interpreter of Scripture.
b) Custodian of authoritative tradition, thru the Councils.
c) Church appeals to civil power to discipline wrong-doers.
4) Apostolicity - supremacy of Rome, authority of Jerusalem, Antioch, Ale xandria, but not Constantinople. But recognizes loal election of bishops.
b. The Sacraments (the means of grace, of which the church is custodian)
i) Gnly two swoken $O i$ as sacruments by Gregory: saotism onci sucharist. a) Baptism: (1) IVegative--cleansing of original and actual sins.
(2) Positive--inducing response with infused grace.
b) Eucharist: (1) Communion with God.
(2) Sacrifice, repeatedly offered to God.
5) Operation of means of grace associated with penance, i.e. application of church's discipline to those guilty of cive ins.
a) Problem: how to ad.just discipline to make it means of remaking the sinners' lives. Usually too severe, ui iuu lax:
(1) If too Devere, wavally omitted, and many decent people excluded from chunch.
(2) If to lonvent, priests dibe ans even grave offonses.
```
D) jevelopment or encnce berore sumstine:
(1) Fmance preseribec for mi:or, as well as for major sins. Lnis carried over ir manasterics, \(=\) lied to laity.
(2) rriv te penunce substitutea for oublic confession. iakes Panace easier.
c) Gre ory's treory:
(1) Pentace in it be correctire, lot nunitive.
( 5 ) \(A\) in \(O_{1}\) enence is to recover healthy standing in community.
3) Fur atory - continuetion in lext lil'e of corroctive period on earth.
a) frecory autnowizes pur fatory belief winch had became popular.
b) Dacrivice or the mass benetits both the livin", and the dead until tre last juuzhent.
\(\Rightarrow\) Lenonolo \(\begin{gathered}\text { - Ĝrçory reco nizes superstitious belief in devil's tricks. }\end{gathered}\)
```


## III. FROI: GREGORY TO THE RENAISSANCE (600-1050)

A. General Survey:

1. Gregory stereotyped theology in the church in Augustinisn patterns. Only one lonely original thincer ap eared frow us) to losv, john Scotus Erigena.
2. Pattern of church history:
a. Darkness of dark ages (604-804) - invasion, weak Merovingians.
b. Twilight of the Carolingians - Charlemagne restores order.
1) Ecclesiastical and ethical reforms - uniformity of liturgy. (Gre soris
2) Charles the Dold, son, patronizes Erifena
c. Renaisaance - begins in Church with Anselm.
3. Major problems of this period of tranisition:
a. Relation of church to secular society.
b. Eucharist
c. Penance
d. Nominalism and Realism - question of universals.
B. The Relation of the Church to Secular Society.
4. Two concepts:
a. Germanic - sacred subordinate to secular. Religion is a community function; its purpose to assure morale and unity. Result: lay investitur
b. Roman - will not tolerate secular control of religion, which is of divine origin.
5. Documents of the Struggle between Church and State (8th, 9th c.)
a. Donations of Constantine, 754.
1) Alleged donation by Constantine to Pope Sylvester (ca. 317) of sovereignty of Western Empire, i.e. "Rome and Italy", in return for baptismal cure from leprosy.
2) Appeared in 757-767 to bolster Pope Paul's I claim of temporal power in relation to Franks and Eastern Empire.
3) Not exposed as forgery until 1433 (IVicholas of Cues), 1440 (Lorenzo Val b. Decretals of Isid ore, ca. 850 .

> I) Alleged collection of papal and conciliar decisions from lst to 8th c. containiig both true aini felse vecroes, incluaing ona ion of Const.
> 2) Object: (a) To strengthen papacy's temporal power. Thus used by Pose Nicholas I.
> (b) To strengthen papacy and bishons vs. archbishons. Hinciam, metropolitan of France, threatens power oI pope.
> 3) Claims; (a) immunty of bishop from military service, civil courts (b)right of direct appeal of regular bishops to pope.

1. Life and Works:
a) He had two great advantages:
1) An Irish education gave him Greek, acquainted him with Dionysius The Areongite, Gregory of Nyssa, Maximus the Confessor.
2) The favor of Charles the Bold preserved him from heresy charges.
b) Controversy with Gottschalk on predestination.
3) Gottschalk imbibes extreme Augustinianism: (a) double predestination, (b) salvation depends wholly on God, not human agency.
4) Opposed by Rabanus Maurus and Hincmar, who ask Eriugena's help.
5) Eriugena rejects predestination of evil as involving before-andafter relation in God. But this doesn't help Hincmar's foreknowledge View either. They drop Eriugena.
c) General theological position: speculative pantheist, or monist.
2. Theology (speculative monism, greatest original thinker of Middle Ages) a. Iruth: 1) ievelation is the hichest surce of innwleace.
2) Reason and authority are parallel sources of truth; reason needs no support from authority, but authority must have the support of reason.
b. On the Divisions of Nature: 4 stages of being, from original oneness to end-oneness in God.
3) Creans uncreata (Uncreated but creating) - God, the Beginning.
a) God is transcendent, unknowable save by via negativa; he is not even Being, but above Being.
b) But God is immanent (Eriugena's fundamental postulate)
(1) Outside of God there is nothing; he is in everything as its essence
(2) Ite is being unlimitod un undil'erentiated; the world is Being circumscribed and divided.
(3) Yet God is above all (distinction within his monism)
4) Creans creata (Created and creating) - world of Ideas, primordial causes.
a) Eriugena a realist - these universals exist before the particulars
b) The world of Ideas exists in the Logos, the divine Son.
c) This is first stage in evolutionary unfolding of the Absolute.
5) Creata non creans (Created but not creating) - spacial-temporal world.
a) In beginning world was undifferentiated whole, complete unity.
b) Sin brought complexity, difference, sex
6) Non creans uncreata (Uncreated and not creating) - God, the End.
a) The All moves from God the Beginning in a grand evolutionary process thru the two stages back to God the End.
b) This return to original unity includes all creation, animate and inanimate. Universal salvation.
c. Evil - privative concept.
7) Evil is unreal, causeless and relative. Non-existent, and happens by spontaneous, uncaused aberration.
8) Evil will be overcome, wholly absorbed in the Good.
9) Evil arises in the fall, when man chose irrational pleasure instead or' 'rod.
d. Dalvation - the roturn of all thitus back to God, thru the incarnate Logos.
I) Universal - animate and inanimate.
10) Harmony, not loss of individuality.
11) Deification of those who have lived for the highest things; mental suifering for the wicked -- yet all will be with God.
\&) Centr:lity of jurist in salvation: by his incarnetion he becane a part of the created universe, and by 'his resurrection he foreshadows the return to God.
D. The Eucharistic Controversy
1. Radbertus Paschasius (800-861), De Cornore et sanguine uvini.
a. Priest makes bread and wine the body and blood (berin i $\overline{3}$ of loctrire of transubstantiation, tho not so called yet); but only ior believers. b. The elements carry morit to marticinants, and urias boneijt.
c: Radbertus is su oricd by .iabuns hurus, wo distinguishes botween presence specialiter of body in bread; and resence haturalitor.
2. Ratramus refutes Radbertus in ion of amne title.
a. Body and blood only symbolic lly in the eleme its.
b. No benefit from use $\overline{01}$ elemerts irithout taith.
c. Eriugena supports this syinolic interprectin. of the sacrament.
3. IVo decision until controversy renemed by Lanfranc and Eerengurius in llth c.

Introd. (1) Intel ectual life is revived by the philosonical problem raised (9th c.) in soetrius' translation of Forphyry's Intron. to Aristotle's Categories.
(2) .0 clrar approach to problem until llth c. after mastery of aristotle's lozical method.
(3) The problem: what is the relation of the universal (e.g. the idea of "animal") to the particular (e.g. the black hog there").
(4) Eventually f ive schools develope:
(a) Extreme Realism - Eriugena
(b) Noderate Realism - central scholastic tradition: Anselm, Aquinas
(c) Indifferentism
(d) Conceptualism
(e) Nominalism - Roscellinus

1. The Schools:
a. Ilominlisn (e.g. Roscellinus) - the universals are nothing but names, words, labels; only the particulars are real.
b. Concentualism - the universal is not only the word "animal" but also the idea "arimal" in the mind. However it does not exist outside the mind.
c. Indifferentism - two types:
1) Aspectus: the universal is the aspect of non-difference that characterizes all animals as animals, that in which they are all alike.
2) Collectio: the universal is not the likeness of the group, but the group itself
d. Realism - the universals are more real than the particulars
e. Extreme Realism (e.g. Eriugena) - identity of universal and particular.
i) The unlvorsal (i.c. the archetype in God's mind), the Darticular (that which is created), and the mind of the observer who recognizes classes of createu thines--are all identical.
3) This involves extreme monism, like Eriugena's. Most scholastics not willing to go so far--

- Muderate Kealism (Anselm, Roolara, Acuinas) - aistinction bet:. universal, partic.
i) Archetrpe in roc's mind, comon orm in crected class, and my idea of that common form are not identical, but three modes, one reflecting the other.

2) This is the central Scholastic tradition, defined by Abelard, developed by Thomas Aquinas--critical realism.
2. Theological Implic ations of Moderate Realism.
a. The Trinity - substratum that is one can be shared by three personae, not sellarate, Jut all participatin- in one unaivided deity. (3 modes of universais)
b. Sin - mankind is not an atomized multitude of individuals, but an archetype-therefore if mankind is corrupted, all particular men are corrupted also.
c. Salvation - all believers participate in healed humanity, and share one life.
3. Roscellinus' Nominalism is condemned; he is charged with tri-theism.
_ ("IOW: can ne :ho woes nut : et uncierstand that meny men are in species one man comprehend how in that most lofty and mysterious nature, a plurality of persons, each of whom singly is perfect God, are one Fod?" - Anselm, De Fide Trinitatis, 2)

## A. ANSELM OF CATTERBURY (1033-1109)

Introd. 1) High churchman, papist vs. nationalism of Henry I of England
2) Keen dialectician, profound but not so original as Augustine
3) Father of Scholasticism--primary interest, intellectual demonstration of the truths of the ohurch.
A. Basic principle: Credo ut intelligam (after Augustine). Believe by faith, but belief is rational and demonstrable. $\therefore$ seeks to prove two great dogmas: the existence of God, and the atonement.
B. The Existence of God.
a. Underlying axioms: (1) Things are unequal in perfection.
(2) Perfection is derived from participation in absolute perfection (realism).
b. The Cosmological Argument (Monologium)

1) Platonic and Augustinian form:
a) There must be a source of goodness, for goodness is the degree of participation in the Idea of Goodness.
b) The supreme good (summum bonum) is that of which we may say "Bbtter that it be than that it should not be" -- not gold, for better that it be man, etc.
c) This supreme good, and source of good is God.
(1) Existence--necessary in suprene good.
(2) Power--necessary in supreme good.
2) Causative form:
a) Everything that is has a cause.
b) If there are many causes, there are 3 alternatives:
(1) May be reduced to one cause--result: God.
(2) Or they are self-existent--result: then the Idea of self-existence common to each is God.
(3) Or they are mutually causative--result: impossible, for an object cannot exist by virtue of another which it causes to exist.
c. Attributes of God deducible from his existence.
l) Only positive, absolute predications can be made of God, not comparisons.
a) He is simple and indivisible.
b) He is justice, (not merely just), wisdom and power.
c) He is omnipresent, yet in no place, rather all space depends on him.
3) Trinity.
a) Relation of the Godhead: Three persons are One God, as three men are Man; yet the three persons are not centers of consciousness, rather an inner complexity continually affirming itself out of unity. Each is singly perfect God, yet the three are one God.
b) Persons of the Godhead:
(1) Son - the self-expression of the Father. The divine Word who created all things.
(2) Holy Spirit - the love which binds together Father and Son, existing as an active and concrete being.

- d. The Ontological Argument (Proslogion)

1) The argument stated: God exists,-a concept to deny which is contradiction.
a) God is that than which nothing greater can be conceived.
b) He exists in the understanding.
c) : . He must exist in reality - or the greater is less, for real existence is greater than existence in the mind.
d) :. He cannot be conceived not to exist.
2) As restated by Des Cartes: (a) God has all perfections
b) Existence is a perfection
(c) :. God exists.
3) The ground for this argument is the Neo-Platonic tendency to equate being and truth, so that what is true for reason is true for being.
4) Criticism of the argument.
a) Argument is true if we admit existence as a perfection.
b) But if (with Kant, Aquinas) we say existence is not tied to value or perfection, it is inconclusive:--
c) Kant's attack on the argument.
5) There are two types of predicates:
a) Analytic, e.g. all triangles have three angles. This
proves not that triangle exists, but if it exists it has three angles. Predicate adds no significance to subject.
b) Synthetic, e.g. the table is brown. Predicate adds significance; suppression of subject involves suppression of prediaate.
6) God exists is an analytical proposition, for real existence is not a predicate to be added in thought to the conception of a possible subject. Existence is not a synthetic predicate that adds significance, e.g. there is no more dollars in a possible $\beta 100$ than in a real, existent $\$ 100$.
3. The Atonement (Cur Deus Homo?)
a. The argument stated: God had to become Man, because--
1) All men share the guilt and sin of Adam--inherited taint of will.
2) Sin withholds from God his due--it robs and dishonours him.
3) Justice demands either satisfaction (repayment) or punishment of guilty.
4) Punishment is impossible:
a) Punishment of all men wrould defeat God's purpose in creation, i.e. that man should be eternally hapoy in enjoyment of God.
b) : at least enough men must be saved to fill place of fallen angels
5):. a way must be found to make adequate satisfaction for man's sin.
a) It must be made by man, for he sinned and owes satisfaction to God.
b) But man can't do it--he can neither justify himself by perfect obedience, much less justify another sinner.
c) Only God is great enough to make adequate satisfaction.
) The satisfaction must be mething not already owed to God.
j):. God became man in Jesus Christ, the God-lian.
a) As amen he brought the satisfaction to God, and conquered the devil.
b) As God he was sinless and perfectly obedient. But this obedience the alrendy mer. to \%ol.
c) : . he laid down his life. Decause he was no sinner, death had no clu... נn in... Itis tes satisfaction enough, unowed, all of grace.
5) By grace, Jesus Christ assigns the merit he has thus won and does noot need to nomever he will.
b. Significance:(1)ended 1000 yr . rule of ransom theory of atonement.
(2)changel ompisis from life (nd incarnation (Irenaeus) to death of Cirist. (in spite of title)

## B. ABELARD (1079-1142)

1. Life: 1) Founder of the scholastic method in theology.
2) Studied Nominalism with Roscellinus, Realism with Wm. of Champeaux, theology with Anselm of Laon.
3) Love affair drives him to monastery--more trouble.
4) Heresy charges by Bernard of Clairveaux--his rationalism seems dangerous to faith. Conderned in 1141.
2. Vethod:
a. Combined two tendencies in tension:-
1) Faith - commitment to the teaching oi the church.
2) Logic - devotion to logical methods, Aristotle.
b. Invari ble this teasion resolves in cminasining one or the other:
3) e.g. Anselm emphasized faith: "Credo ut intelligam" (after Aug.)
4) But Abclard emminsized reason: "Intelligo ut credam"; "By aoubting we come to inquiry, by inquiry we discover the truth."
c. Aiethod of synthesis (ariginated in Sic et Mon-Tincmar of Mheims, Ivo of Chartros
5) Scripture and reason are infallible.
6) But the Fathers are fallible. : examine all that they have said on both sides of every question ( 158 propositions), and correct or affirm them, thus arriving at clear understanding of faith.
7) This method became the accepted Scholastic method, tho at first its rough handling of Fathers aroused fear.
3. Theology:
a. Trinity - extreme Augustinian, accused of Sabellianism (1141)
1) God is one absolute Being, which is Perfection.
2) This perfection involves three properties, or distinctions within the indivisible, unitary being of God.
a) Power - God unbegotten ) --properties of one substance which is
b) Wisdom - God begotten , characterized by each. Neither aspects or
c) Love - proceeding from them) indivicual--hard to say what. Distinctions.
b. God's relation to the wor ld:
3) God's existence necessary as ground of universe's existence.
4) Ethical emphasis - God is the ground of justice, necessarily exists beyond world, for there's no justice here.
5) Essences of created beings are Ideas in God's mind. (Realism)
c. Sin: only the intention to sin, not the outward act. :. Can be wiped out merely by repentance. Only inner change, not outer satisfaction necessary.
d. Atonement (Horal Influence theory)
6) Rejects: (a)Ransom theory.
(b) Satisfaction theory of Anselm.
7) Abelard's theory: (stresses subjective side of atonement)
a) iian, notfod, requires to be changed to atone for sin.
b) :. Christ comes to make man sorry for sin by reyealing its horror and the Iare of God. Changes man--atonement by regeneration.
8) Criticisms:
a) Contemporaries criticized it as not false but inadequate--God and devil must also be dealt with, not just man.
b) Inadequate concept of $\sin$ is basically its fault--sin is more than intention (Loetscher)
c) But Abelard's theory is not rightly called the Moral Influence theory, for he insisted on internal regeneration, not mere extermal prompting. That name applies more directly to the development of his theory by evangelical pietists, and rational liberal Protestants later.
C. FETER I'HE LONBARD (ca. 1160)
1. Iis pentences was the nost famous theological compendium of the time. 2. Four Books: (a) God, (b) Created world, (c) Jesus Christ (follows Abelard in theory of atonement) (d) Sacraments as means of grace; and Eschatology
D. FAMTMEIU'IU Thintiacy. (BERivard OF CLAIRVAUX (1090-1153), HGgo of ST VICTOR (ca. 1130) 1. Both Bernard and Hugo react against Abclora's rationalism, turn mystic.

## F. THOMAS AQUIINAS (1224-1274)

1. Works and Purpose:
a. Works: Comnentaries on Aristotle Commentaries on Dionysius the Areopagite Sumna theologica Sumna contra gentiles
b. General structure of theological presentation: (1) From God, (2) To God,
(3) Through Christ.
c. Purpose: to synthesize Aristotelian philosophy with Christian theology.
(1) Up to l2th c. Christians had only Aristotle's logic.
(2) l2th and l3th C. brought in Aristotelian physics and metaphysics through Averroes.
(3) Christian reaction: 1210 Aristotle forbidden; 1215 Aristotle recognlzed by pope; 1366 Aristotle required.
2. Epistemology.
a. Adopted Aristotelian epistemology, rejected Platonic:
l) Aristotle - all human knowledge comes through the senses alone, and is the result either media te or immediate of sensible experience.
2) Plato - knowledge of unseen realities possible aport from individual things, e.g. man's faculty of direct vision of spiritual things.
b. But this made a problem: if knowledge is sensory, how can we know God?
c. Aquinas answers this by making two sharp distinctions:
3) Between philosophy and theology (revelation).
a) Philosophy begins with the creature and ascends to God; based exclusively on reason, i.e. sense-experience and deductions from it.
b) Theology begins with God and descends to the creature; based exclusively on revelation.
c) Philosophy is more liable to error; but revelation is liable to misinterpre
d) The task of philosophy: (1) To defend theology.
(2) Not all truth is demonstratole, but the proveabl should be proved; understanding better>belief
4) Between the conditions of knowledge in this life and the next.
a) In this life knowledge is indirect only--thru demonstration or faith.
b) But in the next life knowledge shall be by direct vision of God. (Not a real mystic, for his mysticism is placed beyond this life)
3. Doctrine of God.
a. His chief advance: God is more than Essence (ancient), more than a thinking Spirit (Anselm), He is a thinking and willing Being.
b. Proofs of God's existence (this is not self-evident truth, no direct knowledge).
1) Arguments from effect to cause: from motion to unmoved mover (Aristotle).
: from existing things to first cause of existn
2) Arguments from beings of various degrees of perfection to a Supreme fing who is absolutely perfect.
3) Argune nts from order in the universe to an intelligent governor.
c. Positive attributes of God.
4) Effects are like their cause, : we may ascribe to God all the perfections seen in his creation, e.g. Intellicence, Will, Goodness.
5) Eternal, for there is time only where there is change : Unmoved Nover must transcend time.
6) Necessary, not contingent; for contingency leads only to infinite regress.
7) Simple, incorporeal, One - for eternal and necessary being must be pure actuality.
d. As first Cause, he is cause of the World:
8) Creation ex nihilo, involving no change in Himself.
9) All details of the created world are Ideas in the Iind of God.
10) Neither the eternal nature of the world, nor that it is temporal can be proved. But he rejects eternity of world, by faith.
e. God and Evil.
11) God is not the cause of evil
12) Evil is non-existence (privative).
f. Predestination (more Augustinian than prevailing Semipelagian of church)
13) Not conditioned on anything actual or foreseen in man.
14) Includes both election and reprobation. (Summa theol. I.23:3)
i) Uhristion never knows his election, lest he be too secure and lax.

I= LOC $\because \dot{I}$ oIe Ian: the approach of the rational creature to God.
a. Original state, and fall.

1) Originally man possessed (1) original righteousness, and (2) donum superadcitum, i.e. added gift of grace.
c) Din Usings loss of the donum superaduitum, the original inclination toward good, plus the addition of concupiscence to his original natural endowments.
a) Original sin is materially concupiscence.
b) " " is formally a defect of original righteousness.
b. Grace - absolutely necessary, for self-redemption is impossible.
2) Nature of grace: (1) The gratuitous otion of God.
(2) The ef'fect of this divine act: a new nature, making man capable of good. (Leads to mechanical nature of relig. life)
3) Justification: instantaneous forgiveness of sin by God.
a) Object: making man righteous by infused grace.
b) Means: by faith alone, i.e. faith informed with love.
c) Giשan freely, not earned.
d) But, it is not identical with salvation (as Luther later), for salvation Is olf gratted to hi:l wo goes on irom justilication to good works. This makes the aim of justification not intercourse with God but the inaking of man capable of performing good works. This makes love and - good works, not fieith, central to the religious life. (Se berg. II.lil) bj Irbce dobonce, thenfore, tin source of a meritorious work; merit and grace smyline ant, il wotme.

- Lud.

1) Necessity of good works--salvation granted only as revard of good works.
2) Nature of virtue: (1) Emphasis on the inner disposition of the act.
(2) But the nature of the act itself is important (vs. Abclard)
5. The Work of Christ
a. The incartntion was not necessary (God could have saved in other ways), but was the best way, for it accomplished many other things besides man's salvation.
b. The atonement: (combined Anselm's objectiveness and Abelard's subjectiveness) 1) U'hrist Is Kedeemer because:
a) By his revelation of God and his love he incites us to good, thereby making us capable of securing forgiveness of sin (after Abelard)
b) By his death he reconciles God and makes satisfaction to him, thereby securing salvation and immunity from punishment (after Anselm)
2) Benefits of the atonement:
a) For giveness of sins
i) Release iro... the nower of tie devil.
c) Kele ase Irom punisiment for sins
d) seconciliation with God.
©) Ine oreni ; of heaven's gates.

## E. Development of Doctrine (Sacraments and Penance)

1. The Sacraments.
a. Develoment of concept of grace in the sacraments.
1) Before l3th c. sacraments considered only signs of an invisible grace.
2) Hugo of St. Victor calls them not only sign, but containers of grace.
3) St. Thomas further asserts sacraments are instrumental caiuses of grace.
b. Number of sacraments:
4) Great confusion of thought: Abelard 5; Bernard of Clairvaux 10, including feet-washing; Hugo of St. Victor l2, including consecration of churches, kings and monks.
5) Peter the Lombard standardizes the number at 7:
a) Baptism
e) Ordination
b) Eucharist
f) Marriage
c) Confirmation
g) Extreme Unction
d) Penance
c. Effects of the Sacraments:
6) Negative effects of sme - cleansing:
7) Some give positive effect - grace and power.
8) Some give both.
9) Three sacraments confer indelible grace: baptism, ordination, confirmation.
d. Order - baptism may be performed by anyone, even an unbeliever, but all the
others depend for efficacy upon the ordination of the ministering priest.
2. The Eucharist. (both communion and sacrifice)
a. Development of doctrine of transubstantiation. (850-1215)
1) Identification of bread and body challenged by Berengarius, ca. 1054.
a) Berengarius insists on "spiritual presence" not real presence, following in tradition of Ratramnus (850)
b) He is defeated by Lanfranc, and (reluctantly) Hildebrand, after Radbert.
c) Victors understand doctrine in Aristotelian terms of distinction between substance (body) and accidence (bread). The substance of the Lord's body displaces the substance of the bread, bint the accidence of the bread remains unaltered.
2) Transubstantiation becomes dogma in 1215 (4th Lateran Council, Innocent III).
a) The transubstantiation depends not on the faith of the believer (as Radbert taught), but wholly on the act of God.
b) Sacrifical aspect stressed--daily offering for daily sins.
b. Chief note - grace is efficaciously manifested through the sacrament by the priest.
3. Repentance.
a. Abelard: 1) Contrition of heart brings forgiveness from eternal punishment.
2) But works of satisfaction (e.g. confession) is necessary to release from temporal punishment (earth and purgatory).
b. Hugo of St. Victor champions hierarchical orthodoxy vs. Abelard.
3) Confession is the chief thing--it presupposes contrition.
4) Repentance is secured only through confession and satisfaction--both needed.
5) Absolution follows immediately on confession, on promise that satisfaction will be made (this opens way for money satisfaction).
c. Chief adaitions of the period:
6) Establishes direct connection between confession and priestly absolution.
7) Argues necessity of satisfaction to release from temporal (purgatorial) punsishment.
a. Begins the trend away from Schalasticism. Voluntarism (Scotus) leads to Fideism and Scepticism (Occam).
8) Aquinas - disagreement between theology and philosophy is impossible., however inadequate philosophy may be to reach theology's truths.
9) Scotus - much in theology is philosophically improbably, but must be accepted on the autiority of the church.
10) Occam - no theological doctrines are philosophically proveable; they are to be accepted simply on authority.
b. Contrast of Scotus and Aquinas:
11) God: Aquinas--God's essence is being; he does what he sees is right. Scotus--God's essence is arbitrary will; whatever he does is right.
12) Universals: Aouinas--modified realist, but omphasis on universals.

Scotus--modified realist, but emphasis on the particular.
3) Christ's sacrifice: Aquinas-Christ's death was the wisest way of salvation. Scotus--Christ's death was simply the way God did it--any way he might have chosen would have been just as right.
4) Repentance: Aquinas--attrition, i.e. fear of punishment, plus contrition by infused grace is necessary for salvation. Scotus--attrition is suificient; followed by forgiveness; followed by infused grace enabling man to do meritorious works.
2. WILLIAM OH OUCAM
a. Inadequacy of Reason.

1) Reason can't demonstrate the existence of God and the soul, much less theretore any details about then.
2) Reason can give no knwwledge of universals--only of particulars, e.g. in nature (physics) and thought (logic), but not in metaphysics and theology. Occam sees no step from the particular to the universal.
b. Nominalism-only particulars exist, $\therefore$ no comnon humanity, no universal church.
c. Autnority for faith lies not in church, therefore, but in Scripture and in church members.
i) scridure--specilic rerelation by ve: bal inspiration.
3) Aut ority in the church rests in the asscinbly of the indiviauals, but is delegated to the officers.
a. Aquinas: Christ to Peter to Popes.
b) Occam : Christ, to believers, delegated to clergy. Therefore the people can veto the actions of the clergy.
H. Other Forces opposed to Scholasticiom
1. Summany:
a. Fidersin and Skepticisin - Scotrs and Occam
b. Extreme Aypnotiniancin - Wyclif in England, Hus in Bohermia.
c. Mupticism and Quettsm - not ontwardly antapsisitie', but bred prom usitim. Taules.
d. Humanism and the Renaissance.
e. Techuological Development - Commerce, economis, manufactumip.
2. Gohn Wyclifte (1320-1384)
a. Practical criticoso - apolcesmon of Enplish natimiliom vs. papal darins.
b. Thenetical citrarm.
1) Indindualion. - concept of "dominis", each man has a qod-quien, not chuch n state-given autchonty and duty. If ciril a ecclesiesticil anthontès intufere nith this divine law then man be replaced.
2) Doctivie $q$ chunch (Anpustimion) - the the chunch is only $q$ the dect. Even the Pope men not be a member.
3) Ponver og priest - he cannot pripie sins - he can only declare the conditions q.fingwenis. This is the power q the keyp.
4) Enchanst - vs. Tansubstantation. a) Chist is veaily, but not crpoceally present.
b) Pread + wine are only ontwend sequs.
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# THE FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH 

BRIDGEPORT, CONNECTICUT
Alexander Alison. Jr..
D.D., Minister

March 25, 1943.

Dear Church Member,

We looked through our Church Plodges several times last night for your name but it just wasn't there.

We realize how easy it is these busy days to overlook a matter such as this, but you know how important it is for your church to set up its yearly budget immediately. In order to do this, all pledges must be in by next Sunday. About 60 percent of our pledges are in that's a fine start, and with your cooperation we can make it 100 percent. Will you do your part? Will you help us go over the top by sending in your pledge right now?

Another pledge card and return envelope is attached for your convenience. The shortage of gasoline makes it necossary that we reduce our follow-up calls to a minimum. Sending your pledge will save your committee a stop. We're depending on this letter for your pledge.

Let's finish the job by next Sunday. If we all work together we can do one of the finest jobs yet.

Your Pledge Committee
P.S. If you have just sent your pledge in and we missed it, we'd like to thank you. In that cese disregard this new pledge card.

MARTIN LUTHER.
A. Sources: mpstic unies by Anpustine, Bermard q Claivaux, Taules, it. Vitor, Frasmas ele.
B. Basie. connctoons:

1. (Finctice comes furm God $t$ men; man cant get it for hunself.
2. Faith on mans part is the key, and the kenptone of faith is tmat in God.
3. a. Foumerly foith is only assent-assenoess.
b. Wother adds peramal tinst - Irducia.
C. Cnotunt \& his behif (no spotemake heolosq)

## KIERKEGLARD

(...or, if you want to snow you're re.liy in the know theologically, call him "Kerkegor". Tnat's the they se arate the men from the boys in seminary tnese days. If you say Augustine and Kierkegaard you're amateurs; if you say Augustine and Kerkegor you're a pro. Just remember: Either/Or; Kerkegor--and you have three years worth of theological polish in two minutes)

I say "Kierkegaard" myself, and this is the reverse twist in theological gamesmanship. It's more Kierkegaardian, for whatever those "in the know" were for, Kierkegaard was bound to be against. "Genius, like a thunderstorm, always comes up against the wind", he wrote. And whether you like him or not, Kierkegaard was a genius, the man you have to start with if you want to do any serious reading in twentieth century theology today. Take any of the men on your list--these modern theologians you're studying--from P.T. Forsythe, who calls Kierkega rd "the melancholy Dane in whom Hamlet was mátered by Christ"(The Work of Christ) to Barth and Tillich and Niebuhr, who says that Kierkegaard was "the profoundest interpreter of the phsychology of the religiaus life...since St. Augustine" (Bretall, Anthology, p. xvii)--read them all and you are only walking in the footsteps of Kierkegaard, who compares to the others, as some on has said, like "the sound of a flight of wild birds over the heads of the tame of the species".

All I can do is to try to give you an impression of Kierkegaard as a whole, not a detailed, systematic analysis of his bewilderingly unsystematic uritings. I'll do it by concentrating on four pictures of the man and his


1. There is the picture of his life painted with irony and pathos in a phrase from a dream, "May I always have the laughs on my side" (亡iapsalmata, in Either/Or, Hollander, K. p. 37)
2. There is the nicture of his philosophy painted with another ironic remark: "It was intelligence and nothing else that had to be opposed. Presumably that is why $I$, who had the job, was armed with an immense intelligence". (Barrett, Irrational Man, p. 133)
3. Tnere is the oicture of his person al religion; sainted in the question that is central to everything he ever wrote, "How shall I become a Christian, I, Johannes Climacus, born in this city, thirty years of age, and not in any way difierent from the ordinary run of men?" (Unscientific Postscript, in Hollander, p. 20)
4. And there is finally the picture of his attack on nominal Christianity, "That says the fire marshal?.... He says, or rather he roars, 'To hell with your buckets and hand-squirts..."

And if these quotations make you think that Kierkegaard was a little eccentric for a theologian, you are beginning to catch sometning of the flavour of his wildly improbable life.
I. His Life. Let us begin, then, with the first picture, his life. "A strange thing haopened to me in (a) dream," he writes. "I was raot into the seventh heaven. There sat all the yods assembled... I was granted the favor to have one wish. "Do you wish for youth," said Mercury, "or for beauty or nower or a long life; or do you wish for the most beautiful woman... Choose, but only one thing!' I was at a loss for a moment. Then I said.. "Most honorable contemporaries, I choose one thing--that I may always have the laughs on my side." Then all the gods began to laugh, and K. decided that his wish had been granted, and that the gods had answered in most good taste, for how inappropriate it would have been to answer such a request with the solemn statenent, Your wish has been granted. (Diapsalmata, Hollander, p. 37).

It was mely a dream.
There is pathos in that-drem. If ever inthis life a tortured soul has had all the laughs going against him, that sol is Kierkegaard. He was vorn in 1813, inheriting from his parents feeble health, a deformed oody (he had a humped back), and such a heavy load of guilt and melancholy as was $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{x}}$ to rob him of his only real chance for human love and normal happiness.

Some people simoly dismiss him from serious consideration with the judgment that he was insane, and his father insane before him. They point to riis father's conviction that he had conmitted the unforgiveable sin, the sin against the Holy Ghost when, as a boy tending sheep on the wild moors he had cursed God for the hard life into which he had been born. Later when life became easy, when he had become a rich wool-merchant, nis melancholy refused to leave him. If anything it oecame more intense and his feelings of guilt were all the more sharoened as a result of an illicit love afiair with a servant girl. He married the girl, Kiergegaard's mother, out he never lost his sense of guilt, compensating for it by hard, harsh moral discipline in the home in which he broght up his child ren. It was a home without laughter.

No wonder then, pernaos, that Kierkegaara grew up to be alnost as patnological a figure as his father. He discovered his father's guilty secret, and alnost conmitted suicide in nis grief. Then he tnrew off all restraints, and his life was wild and reckless and desoairingly gay--until, at the age of 25 , came the moment of truth, the existential moment, the great decision. He was converted. Kexwaske "çod creates out of notning--wonderíul, yu say," ne writes in his journal, "yes, to be sure, but he does what is more wonderful: he makes saints out of sinners."
low to the sentimental Christian, who thinks that conversion is like the end of a fairy story, and that with Jesus one lives nap ily ever after--it comes as a cruel shock to discover with Kierkegaard that allthe laughs, aprarently, are not on the side of the saints.

Kierkegaard the Christian falls promptly and completely in love, with a delightfully havpy and normal 17-year-old girl; and Kierkegaard the Cnristian so agonizes away about his owm unworthiness, his melancholy, his own sense of calling to a unique and lonly Caristian mission, that ne clecides ne cannot marry her. It is a moving and incrediole story--it moves some to admiration, some to sity, jut frankly it moves me to an active dislike for Kierkegaard the man. Let me read part of what he says of the affair:

[^0] it: went 4 gimam where Hepel was the grient of the day ad came beck to Copersupen to dery everyth my that Hegel was
 Stuet michins - Entta/on!

What was so funn abual Euthes $/ \mathrm{b}_{2}$. The funn timy
 challese ti the frots/And philonth that was the heat 7 all westem thiminip hm Anstite thigin Agmini so ltepel. The all tepit thet the wa $t$ tuitt was by reasm so yn cmel duped a bath faith af neesm.

Now up imes the litte, timbled Dane $t$ duel with the grouts $i$ al be comes ant alead!

An attack in reeson. If was only way -
Greet + masine suptemr to loqial. So attach the findet ins
Go sthayit If the pupulas. Noi - unmp becanse uneessaclle, int unnp becance to ressmable
Lies of nonels are plansible"- not li.ee, pe with reed lif cumes Hat which is beynd reasm. No reasmasle noad it Gold Thasmable roalt t 0? Tubbicin. The in no ratimal purn
 pare tat a strue oxists, whe that some existuy thmy is a stone.

To lool - whe frith.

Por man - the leghs wae never on his side. He hid lmah ot alme. No ove has wese enen seer the isisle a his hume, mote in Sunt who nitide Cpenhupe: 1852 (Muthen, K p. 25) Ite mite ghlongh - brows whil hove shelen the winde unid gy moden
 Len them pruted, ot culd seel als $l$ bo upins 7 are 7 the munt unpurtat of thene. Add wem when pugle red thom, then beghed at him Steut undminfollmox him whitis -1 gein "Eituen-n; eithe/a:
grome reomint hin ] TR Coyht whe abrap yaint ham
Then adent know that thene litele scavernu thes were fellme?
 was enspused in a chumatis inallectuel dual inth the $y$ the prectest PMinophers hao have ans lined - with Cnisite, Agrunes -1 Iteed ad thet at lesest hayf of the 20 ? centers totan behems that the little cupple hes cone ant of the dual better thon the gunits He nasit duefjinich
 we, was pinte ake prate.
 Apmis, Hed I de woting she that had to be gppeed. Pumnualsh that is th I, who hed the join was anced with an ummene intell yace

Kenlegainds philogot (xustartubsin, he call It todey) is bessically, theupe an attack on reason. If was the mes way he cund am the greut ad masive philonptiat eptains a Caistith. Agposen -1


 becane thas are uneesmable, he cenp with that leati thmat 4 preidx thet was hon deedicist mexpm, Hey are unns becunce


 is beynd reum - Gi-d.
 fh. Staiti, inth the sineictme unid q sanse obpeits - otud it
 mistace of Cord

Thubsiod sech kisis AXe gron props lead wis to a thumit of
 exutence. Frister is aluserp a gimen", cot a "Ancmenel: 'I do wit prome that a otrme exests, but that some wistmp thimp in a stme." (Thilosel Feout, 3i) if yn wart the Gord who esents - ones one thei will bie ye to hie not rearm, but farth find farti no a cat 1 the mll, unt the mid ift is an aristential decismin - not an acadanic stenty
(in fuctin - the both the sond (T)
This in the cwonsoats 7 mordim $P$, serer banutt pir44. Hay o the
mode unl1, the pritinit, satpi loys eer "all rikt exsitue comurt
 -1 imentrate a whet ce be deruhed . sinchul. K. tore the Ater noad - existere is to sish d dey, eristine is Leie + death, the

 relemins - suen. So the central prastin - Kir $4_{1}$. Epht was precsich this - hno do ípre morely $t$ reelts.
(3). He put, it this weg, ejpr ìm ber. "How shale I he come o Xan. if Climaious, ben "the ith zo yeer "q ate, 1 nut -


Thue are tim elang ware, the speculetve ung $q$ the phinnopher - age yoney retumell, with belng that $x 5$ in scuantpricth the (libe Liberal PaAs - a the Ciths.) - but K hes aluch brom with this appuch. Xn treth is an "Ytuse" it resm sigh bensiti central idee, thet Godd in in taii in Xt in a contracicti, pr God is etemal

The itins ein wey in the castretac - the remingetinal, th sonematel - the whe inginh alse beernes a kin. Yn been a di in wath $t$ leal gnt, titue $n$ wher we ent to the efifit to feel gind - Dempen 'Brenne who hins estiviciclly, whetan he lanums if und, is on dansain.

Yon ingbl have been saved in srme othes way. No answer. Like sachit ine in lone - Pretall P.xx.

The ease $1 \mathrm{Nty}_{4}$ - the naturei" inent be ditlimed xxilie it cestheti.
2) specolative

The wecenith \& sacrifie- o sumperiny. The Joumals xxvi.
Jumut
"The same thiup happen $\$$ Xty, os \& beconjis den, as $\$$ all
 "Pypomin wewes iets nemes Ue turte-tham IVate: luzat is turth? A.d. with that crucifes it."-1837.

- Perpie hanaly wes wave me 1 the fredern winst they haves, or asample, puedron 1 thimpit, ustead then demed predon 7 tpeech as is compurestum. $183 \$$
"God creater ont 1 withimp-innduful, you day: yes, to be cure,

it regmines une conepe \&o enffer than to ad, more conepe \& froet thion to remembes, od persops the most underpie thing abment Cord is that he can freet man's Sinis."1841

ptind - Banett, Im. Mm, y. 25.

Copmetum -"is spleieidrienion y une mates o dwrite assumpitan - that durne unashy, is \& make is forel ait + bret; al that As pruapal ain is
 pemificenc." -153

Augorthe Parcal, lithe - the perenal 8un

Mition - Minkigaind the Mehnchiy Dowe
Kerkegaind - "the sond q feight of mid binds oner the heads of the tame $g$ the same speies

To appreciste Kieikgaers, 2 ferdmentes ponts needed: (1) Suse of atter guilt bepre Lod,
(2) The convichon that ore las noo "ngutt" bepre (ated
P.T. Trisyth - "the melenchlyly Dane in whon Hameet was mastered by Chist" (p.10).
"What human happieen was he never knew" - "
17-bymus situda for musitor

25- spintal ansis, heiped by fattos (now 81) -one murth bepue he did.
 frught fi is tants whele in a Pence cotanding outride it.
 melenchisin donoed his love. Pueluening guit (fattais this oun) - he cured not reveel this to Muine. But R. Ened him. It delbeath, peteded medterence
Radiale-Cononi
 renie.

Shifit, del cate, weak, dened in almot wery respect the phapial nequiremants in wale to be whle to pan in a complete man ... welanchosh, soml-side profoudery and chrseretechy f ferlme in memp wave, one thing wes grien to we; a preemment in tedileme: (Gonual 1335)

Montm - Kielegpard
1852- Byyn Ad - begmis prepen Attack as Chusteulion vs. Mynater (B. Inumate) y Stete Chh, who had corfunel him at 15. Wrie, prois - hat everithuy was unap. haed in lurnm - heed f a che whic conted all Denes racent Gqus os Xus. This war not NTXty.
(1) Tins is exacth ip 4 NT X G

 in pupers + thes $t$ somprees them.

 the we in his oun harse. In evenis invitos reeds.
tee Hollarden-Kierkgard
On deathbed - "The brub explodes; the conflegution inll fielou" zo
He doen't wite like a sed theolopian - "that I may lave all the leaphe an my side" (Diapsemate) p.37. Ant the leapls wee apairt him.

What Sayp the tire Mashel? (Th îresent Mrment) "To Lell untt gon buduts al hand-squints" - In a frie is a vey semain busumeis


[^0]:    "I suffered unspeakaoly... My melancholy awoke once more.. I cannot decide

